



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_50293
Title of the Manuscript:	The potential use of ectoine produced by a moderately halophilic bacteria <i>Chromohalobacter salexigens</i> KT989776 for enhancing germination and primary seedling of flax (<i>Linum usitatissimum</i>) under salinity conditions
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Title</u> – please write the author species name as “<i>Linum usitatissimum</i> L.”. <u>Abstract</u> – “<i>Chromohalobacter salexigens</i>” should be write in italic. <u>Key words</u> –add this key word: “germination”. <u>Introduction</u> – line 29: Authors must have a unified stance that means either to write all these biomolecules with lowercase letters or all with first capital letter. <u>Material and methods</u> – line 85, 90, 98...: leave one pace between the numbers and the wor ex.: “2.4.1.HPLC determination”); line 98: delete the symbol “ : “ at the end of the subheading; <u>Results</u> – line 130: why is written in bold style “(Table 3)”?; line 152: please finish the sentence with dot “ .”; linw 168: use the first capital letter “spectra”. <u>Discussion</u> – why “KRASILNIKOV “ is written in capital letters?; line 222-234, 267-272: the text should be obligatory format!!!; line 264: please use the first capital letter, always “ability”. <u>Conclusion</u> – format the “According”; It is very scarce to conclude for so much research work, good research, to add another 1-2 sentences. <u>References</u> – To check if the literature used is listed according to the propositions for the authors ?! I think there are deviations that are inadmissible. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Once written the species name in full (“ <i>Linum usitatissimum</i> L.”), further in the text to be written as ““ <i>L. usitatissimum</i> ”).	
Optional/General comments	All text to be formatted. The authors should check the whole text and it must be the entire manuscript written in the same font in accordance with the propositions.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suzana Jordanovska
Department, University & Country	Ss. Cyril and Methodius University, Macedonia