



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_50261
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis and characterization of new apatitic materials from Hahotoé-Kpogamé phosphate rock
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Actually this paper is not my research field, and I tried to make a comment.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Can you explain 1,81 and 0,89 meaning of SiO₂ in Table 1? 2. What reason causes the 3154cm⁻¹ disappearing after calcined in Fig.5? 3. In Fig.6, can you explain what meaning of heat flow peak when temperature closed to 300 degree. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Qiang Li Weifang
Department, University & Country	University of Science and Technology, China