

35 period. Therefore the analysis of milk marketing channels, marketing costs and the margins
36 of middleman are essential for dairy development at the micro level and in formulating plans
37 for improvements in the dairy sector through higher value addition and increased
38 employment generation in agriculture, based on sound economic principles, at the macro
39 level. Thus, marketing of dairy products plays a very important role in the dairy development
40 and drawn attention of policymakers, planners and researchers. The analysis of marketing
41 costs and margins of dairy plants would help in reducing the unwarranted costs in marketing
42 of dairy products. By keeping all these things in mind, the present study was conducted to
43 compare the marketing costs, margins and marketing efficiency of of milk and milk products
44 for different marketing channels.

45

46 **MATERIAL AND METHODS - references to be numbered sequentially from**
47 **introduction in square bracket**

48

49 The study was conducted in Prakasam district of Andhra Pradesh. Multistage
50 sampling technique was used for this study. In the first stage Prakasam district of Andhra
51 Pradesh was purposively selected based on the criteria of highest milk production (0.873 Mt)
52 during the year 2014-15 (A.P. socio-economic survey). Four mandals from total mandals of
53 Prakasam district and two villages from each mandal were selected purposively based on
54 their highest milk production making a total of eight villages. A total of eighty farmers from
55 each village were selected out of which, twenty farmers selling milk to cooperative society
56 i.e. Ongole dairy identified in the district and remaining sixty farmers to non-cooperative
57 dairies which includes traditional, private and milk collection centres were selected by using
58 simple random sampling technique. Primary data was collected from dairy farmers, milk
59 collection units, cooperative society and private dairies with the help of structured interview
60 schedule. The data was obtained from the selected respondents, then coded, classified and
61 tabulated. Finally marketing costs, marketing margin, price spread were calculated. For
62 calculating marketing efficiency, Acharya's approach method was used which is an ideal
63 measure for marketing efficiency (Acharya and Agarwal, 2011. Agricultural marketing in
64 India).

65 **Acharya Approach of Marketing Efficiency**

66 According to Acharya, an ideal measure of marketing efficiency, particularly for
67 comparing the efficiency of alternate markets/cannels, should account all the following:

68 a) Total marketing costs (MC)

- 69 b) Net marketing margins (MM)
70 c) Prices received by the farmer (FP)
71 d) Prices paid by the consumer (RP)
72 1) Higher the MC, lower the efficiency
73 2) Higher the MM, lower the efficiency
74 3) Higher the FP, higher the efficiency
75 4) Higher the RP, lower the efficiency

76 The following modified measure is, therefore, being suggested by Acharya:-

77
$$\text{MME} = \text{FP} \div (\text{MC} + \text{MM})$$

78 Where MME is the modified measure of marketing efficiency.

79

80 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION - references given in this section to be numbered**
81 **sequentially in square bracket form introduction and methods**

82

83 **Marketing Efficiency of all Identified Supply Chains for Milk and Milk Products**

84 In Prakasam district, both cooperative and non-cooperative dairies were identified
85 from which seven channels were developed including traditional channel. Milk has been
86 supplied through all the channels that are identified whereas for milk products, traditional
87 channel did not exist in the sample area. In the channels identified, there was one cooperative
88 society i.e. Ongole dairy which plays a major role in marketing of milk and milk products
89 mainly in Prakasam district. Wholesalers are the one who purchase milk or milk products
90 directly from cooperative and non-cooperative dairies and sell the produce to either retailers
91 or consumers. There are some wholesalers like cooperative society, private dairies and MCC
92 who make different milk products like curd, butter milk and ghee and sell them to other
93 wholesalers or retailers or directly to consumers. Retailers are those who purchase the
94 produce and sell them to consumers. In one of the non-cooperative channel, there exists only
95 two members i.e. producers and consumers in traditional channel (channel 4). In channel
96 one, two, and three, Ongole dairy was the major wholesaler selling milk and their milk
97 products to other wholesalers, retailers and consumers. Private dairy was the other major
98 stakeholder playing the role of wholesaler in channels five and six. As observed in channel
99 seven, there is also milk collection centers setup by a single farmer where milk was collected
100 from different dairy farmers in the surrounding areas.

101 Marketing channels for milk and milk products like curd, buttermilk and ghee were
102 identified and price per litre at different stages in the channels were represented in Tables 1,

103 3, 5, and 7. For all the identified marketing channels, price spread was worked out to
104 estimate producers share in consumer's rupee for milk and milk products.

105

106 **Marketing Efficiency of Milk**

107 From the table 2 it was evident that producer's share in consumer's rupee was highest
108 in case of channel 4 i.e. producers who sold their milk directly to consumers received
109 maximum price (Rs. 47.30) followed by private dairies (Rs. 41.77), MCCs (Rs. 40.95) and
110 Ongole dairy (Rs. 39.85). Similar result were observed in the work done by Banafar (2007),
111 Deokate *et al.* (2007), Masuku and Sihlongonyane (2015) where the producer's share in
112 consumer rupee was high in channel i.e., milk producer-consumer. Producer's share in
113 consumer's rupee was 100 per cent in non-cooperative channel i.e. traditional channel as
114 there were no intermediaries. It was found that highest price spread was observed in case of
115 channel 3 where the channel includes more number of intermediaries who incurred some
116 costs and retained some portion of the profit which added to the inflated price spreads.
117 Minimum price spread was found in channel 4 as there were no intermediaries between the
118 producer and consumer. Channel 4 was found to be the efficient channel with highest
119 marketing efficiency. In this study, marketing efficiency of private channels was found to be
120 more than cooperative channel but traditional channel was more efficient than private
121 channel. Similar results were found with Rangasamy and Dhaka (2008), where marketing
122 efficiency of private dairy was more than a cooperative sector.

123

124 **Marketing efficiency of curd**

125 From table 4 it was observed that channel 6 was the most efficient channel in curd
126 i.e. marketing through milk collection centers. Price spread was also observed lowest in case
127 of channel 6 followed by channel 4 i.e. through private dairies without intermediaries.
128 Channels with no or less intermediaries found to have higher marketing efficiency and lower
129 price spread. Producers share in consumer's rupee found to be the highest in channel 6 where
130 curd was marketed through milk collection centres, producers' price was maximum when
131 curd was sold to private dairies compared to Ongole dairy and milk collection centres
132 (MCC).

133

134 **Marketing efficiency of butter milk**

135 Table 6 it was revealed that the highest marketing efficiency and the lowest price
136 spread were observed in one of the non-cooperative channel 4 i.e. marketing butter milk
137 through private dairies directly to consumers without the presence of intermediaries. This is

138 followed by milk collection centers with next highest marketing efficiency and next lowest
139 price spread. Producers who sold their butter milk to private dairies procured more price as
140 compared to other marketing channels. The percentage share in consumer's rupee was
141 reasonably higher if the producers were selling to the cooperative society and the consumers
142 also had to pay less price if they purchased from cooperative society.

143

144 **Marketing efficiency of ghee**

145 Table 6 it was revealed that marketing of ghee does not take place through cooperative
146 channel; only non-cooperative channels were involved in marketing of ghee. Marketing
147 efficiency of ghee was more in case of channel 1 followed by channel 3 and 2. Channel 2
148 found to have the highest price spread followed by channel 3 and 1. Producer's share in
149 consumer rupee was highest in case of channel 1 because of the absence of intermediaries
150 between the private dairy and the consumer and hence the channel having the highest
151 marketing efficiency.

152 **CONCLUSION**

153 From the results of study it can be concluded that channel 4 was found to be more
154 efficient channel with highest marketing efficiency in case of milk. For curd, highest
155 marketing efficiency was found in channel 6. Channel 4 had the highest marketing efficiency
156 in case of buttermilk and Channel 1 had the highest marketing efficiency in case of ghee.
157 Overall marketing efficiency was shown more in case of private dairies whereas marketing of
158 dairy products directly to consumers. Even though dairy farmers preferring the cooperative
159 channel because of that channel is providing training facilities, supplying feed and fodder on
160 credit basis which were more important to farmers rather than price. Milk price, distance and
161 training facilities were considered as the most important factors which influence the dairy
162 farmers to choose better marketing channel. From the findings of the study the following
163 implications were drawn. Monthly payment should be made regular and price given to dairy
164 farmers should be increased by cooperative society so that the dairy farmers preferring to this
165 channel will be increased more than at present. The dairy plants should lower the sales
166 commission being paid to commission agents, wholesalers, retailers and other selling agents
167 to reduce distribution cost.

168 **REFERENCES**

169 Acharya, S.S and Agarwal, N.L. 2011. *Agricultural marketing in India*. Oxford & IBH
170 Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi. 438-470.

171 A.P. Socio-economic survey, 2014-15.
172 Banafar, K.N.S. 2007. Production and marketing of milk in Raipur district of Chattisgarh: An
173 economic analysis. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics*. 62 (3): 478-479.
174 Deokate, T.B., Shendage, P.N and Jadhav, K.L. 2007. Marketing of milk in Amaravati
175 district of Maharashtra. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics*. 62 (3): 453.
176 GOI (Government of India). 2004. *Statistical Abstracts*. Department of Statistics, Ministry of
177 Statistics and Programme Implementation, New Delhi.
178 Masuku, M.B and Sihlongonyane, M.D. 2015. Economic analysis of the milk supply chain in
179 Swaziland. *Food Science and Quality Management*. 45: 9-17.
180 Rangasamy, N and Dhaka, J.P. 2008. Marketing Efficiency of Dairy Products and Private
181 Dairy Plants in Tamil Nadu-A comparative Analysis. *Agricultural Economics Research*
182 *Review*. 21: 235-242.

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202 **Table 1: Price spread of milk (Rs./l) in different marketing channels in Prakasam**
203 **district**

Particulars	Cooperative channel			Non-cooperative channel			
	F-Ongole dairy (1)	F-Ongole dairy (2)	F-Ongole dairy (3)	F-C (4)	F-Private (5)	F-Private (6)	F-MCC (7)
Producer							
Cost of Production	36.80	36.80	36.80	38.32	37.69	37.69	35.70
Profits	3.05	3.05	3.05	8.98	4.08	4.08	5.25
Price Received	39.85	39.85	39.85	47.30	41.77	41.77	40.95
Ongole dairy							
Marketing Cost	7.80	7.80	7.80	-	-	-	-
Profits	2.35	2.35	2.35	-	-	-	-
Price Received	50.00	50.00	50.00	-	-	-	-
Private							
Marketing Cost	-	-	-	-	4.63	4.63	-
Profits	-	-	-	-	5.60	5.60	-
Price Received	-	-	-	-	52.00	52.00	-
Milk collection centre							
Marketing Cost	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.17
Profits	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.88
Price Received	-	-	-	-	-	-	50.00
Wholesaler							
Marketing Cost	-	0.60	0.60	-	-	0.30	-
Profits	-	1.40	1.40	-	-	1.70	-
Price Received	-	52.00	52.00	-	-	54.00	-
Retailer							
Marketing Cost	-	-	0.20	-	-	-	-
Profits	-	-	1.80	-	-	-	-

Price Received	-	-	54.00	-	-	-	-
Price Paid by Consumer	50.00	52.00	54.00	47.30	52.00	54.00	50.00
F-C: Farmers to consumers, MCC-milk collection centers							

204

205 **Table 2: Over view of price spread of milk (Rs. per liter) in different channels**

Particulars	Channels						
	Cooperative			Non-cooperative			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Marketing Cost (Rs.)	7.80	8.40	8.60	0	4.63	4.93	5.17
Marketing Margin(MM) (Rs.)	2.35	3.75	5.55	8.98	5.60	7.30	4.88
Price Spread	10.15	12.15	14.15	0	10.23	12.23	9.05
Producer's price(Rs.)	39.85	39.85	39.85	47.30	41.77	41.77	40.95
Consumer's price(Rs.)	50.00	52.00	54.00	47.30	52.00	54.00	50.00
Producer's Share In Consumer Price (%)	79.70	76.63	73.79	100	80.32	77.35	81.90
MM In Consumer Price (%)	4.70	7.21	10.27	18.98	10.76	13.51	9.76
Marketing Efficiency(MME) (Acharya's approach)	4.92	4.27	3.81	5.26	5.08	4.41	4.97

206

207 **Table 3: Price spread of curd in different channels in Prakasam district (Rs./liter)**

Particulars	Cooperative channel			Non-cooperative channel		
	F-Ongole dairy (1)	F-Ongole dairy (2)	F-Ongole dairy (3)	F-private (4)	F-private (5)	F-MCC (6)
Producer						
Cost of Production	36.80	36.80	36.80	37.69	37.69	35.70
Profits	3.05	3.05	3.05	4.08	4.08	5.25
Price Received	39.85	39.85	39.85	41.77	41.77	40.95
Ongole Dairy						
Marketing Cost	9.42	9.42	9.42	-	-	-
Profits	0.23	0.23	0.23	-	-	-

Price Received	49.50	49.50	49.50	-	-	-
Private Dairy						
Marketing Cost	-	-	-	7.68	7.68	-
Profits	-	-	-	0.75	0.75	-
Price Received	-	-	-	50.20	50.20	-
Milk Collection Centre						
Marketing Cost	-	-	-	-	-	3.52
Profits	-	-	-	-	-	4.23
Price Received	-	-	-	-	-	48.70
Wholesaler						
Marketing Cost	-	0.60	0.60	-	0.30	-
Profits	-	1.70	1.70	-	1.10	-
Price Received	-	51.80	51.80	-	51.60	-
Retailer						
Marketing Cost	-	-	0.10	-	-	-
Profits	-	-	0.10	-	-	-
Price Received	-	-	52.00	-	-	-
Price Paid by Consumer	49.50	51.80	52.00	50.20	51.60	48.70
F-C: Farmers to consumers, MCC-milk collection centres						

208

209 **Table 4: Over view of price spread of curd (Rs. Per liter)**

Particulars	Channels					
	Cooperative			Non-cooperative		
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Marketing Cost (Rs.)	9.42	11.02	10.02	4.63	7.98	3.52
Marketing Margin(MM) (Rs.)	0.23	0.93	2.03	5.6	1.85	4.23
Price Spread	9.65	11.95	12.15	8.43	9.83	7.75
Producer's price(Rs.)	39.85	39.85	39.85	41.77	41.77	40.95
Consumer's price(Rs.)	49.50	51.80	52.00	50.20	51.60	48.70
Producer's Share in Consumer Price (%)	80.50	76.93	76.63	83.20	80.94	84.08

MM in Consumer Price (%)	0.46	1.79	3.90	11.15	3.58	8.68
Marketing Efficiency(MME) (Acharya's approach)	5.12	4.33	4.31	4.90	5.24	6.28

210 **Table 5: Price spread of buttermilk in different channels in Prakasam district (Rs. Per**
211 **liter)**

Particulars	Cooperative channel			Non-cooperative channel		
	F- Ongole dairy (1)	F- Ongole dairy (2)	F- Ongole dairy (3)	F- Private (4)	F- Private (5)	F-MCC (6)
Producer						
Cost of Production	28.30	28.30	28.30	29.60	29.60	29.80
Profits	0.96	0.96	0.96	2.90	2.90	0.40
Price Received	29.26	29.26	29.26	32.50	32.50	30.20
Ongole dairy						
Marketing Cost	2.80	2.80	2.80	-	-	-
Profits	2.94	2.94	2.94	-	-	-
Price Received	35.00	35.00	35.00	-	-	-
Private						
Marketing Cost	-	-	-	2.30	2.30	-
Profits	-	-	-	3.20	3.20	-
Price Received	-	-	-	38.00	38.00	-
Milk collection centre						
Marketing Cost	-	-	-	-	-	2.10
Profits	-	-	-	-	-	3.70
Price Received	-	-	-	-	-	36.00
Wholesaler						
Marketing Cost	-	1.40	1.40	-	0.80	-
Profits	-	1.80	1.80	-	1.20	-
Price Received	-	38.20	38.20	-	40.00	-

Retailer						
Marketing Cost	-	-	1.20	-	-	-
Profits	-	-	0.60	-	-	-
Price Received	-	-	40.00	-	-	-
Price Paid by Consumer	35.00	38.20	40.00	38.00	40.00	36.00
MCC-milk collection centers						

212

213 **Table 6. Over view of price spread of butter milk (Rs. Per litre)**

Particulars	Channels					
	Cooperative			Non-cooperative		
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Marketing Cost (Rs.)	2.94	4.20	5.40	2.30	3.10	2.10
Marketing Margin(MM) (Rs.)	2.94	4.74	5.34	3.20	4.40	3.70
Price Spread	5.74	8.94	10.74	5.50	7.50	5.80
Producer's price (Rs.)	29.26	29.26	29.26	32.50	32.50	30.20
Consumer's price (Rs.)	35.00	38.20	40.00	38.00	40.00	36.00
Producer's Share in Consumer Price (%)	83.60	76.59	73.15	85.52	81.25	83.88
MM In Consumer Price (%)	8.40	12.40	13.35	8.42	11.00	10.27
Marketing Efficiency (MME) (Acharya's approach)	4.97	3.27	2.72	5.90	4.33	5.20

214

215 **Table 7: Price spread of ghee in different non-cooperative channels in the Prakasam**
216 **district (Rs./kg)**

Particulars	F-Private (1)	F-Private (2)	F-MCC (3)
Producer			
Cost of Production	300.56	300.56	292.14
Profits	25.33	25.33	22.51
Price Received	325.89	325.89	314.65
Private Dairy			
Marketing Cost	50.35	50.35	-

Profits	23.76	23.76	-
Price Received	400.00	400.00	-
Milk Collection Centre			
Marketing Cost	-	-	50.68
Profits	-	-	34.67
Price Received	-	-	400.00
Wholesaler			
Marketing Cost	-	1.23	-
Profits	-	18.77	-
Price Received	-	420.00	-
Price Paid by Consumer	400.00	420.00	400.00
MCC-milk collection centres			

217

218 **Table 8: Over view of price spread of ghee (Rs./kg)**

Particulars	Non-cooperative channels		
	1	2	3
Marketing Cost (Rs.)	50.35	51.58	50.68
Marketing Margin(MM) (Rs.)	23.76	42.53	34.67
Price Spread	74.11	94.11	85.35
Producer's price (Rs.)	325.89	325.89	314.65
Consumer's price (Rs.)	400.00	420.00	400.00
Producer's Share in Consumer Price (%)	81.47	77.59	78.66
MM In Consumer Price (%)	5.94	10.12	8.66
Marketing Efficiency (MME) (Acharya's approach)	4.39	3.46	3.68

219