



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_50772
Title of the Manuscript:	Analytical Hierarchy Process Model for Prioritizing Alternative Strategies for Malaria Control.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This paper discusses the analytical hierarchy process (AHP) model for prioritizing the alternative strategies in malaria control. In this AHP model, five criteria and five alternatives, which are obtained from the experts, are defined for the goal to control malaria in Bauchi, Nigeria. The methodology used is well-discussed and the result obtained provides the best alternative strategy for malaria control. Overall, the content of the paper gives a contribution to the topic concerned. However, the presentation of the paper shall be further improved. Some comments are given as follow.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In Line 8, see "This study "Analytical Hierarchy Process Model for Malaria Control"", it shall be written as "This study...". 2. The acronym for analytical hierarchy process, that is, AHP, shall be defined when the first time the full name appeared in the text. Then, this acronym shall be used in the following text. 3. In Line 32, the abbreviations could be given in the text rather than giving in Line 32. 4. In Line 46, see "in the field of healthcare, [2].", it shall be written as "in the field of healthcare [2]." 5. In Line 47, see "[3] AHP is a decision-making method", it shall be written as "AHP is a decision-making method [3]". 6. Please write the word "pairwise" in a consistent way. Do not write "pair-wise" or "pair wise" in the text. 7. Symbols and notations shall be written in the <i>italic</i> form. 8. In Lines 73 and 74, see "consistency index CI, consistency ratio CR", it shall be written as "consistency index (CI), consistency ratio (CR)". 9. In Line 93, see "which prevents the blood stage infections", it shall be written as "which prevents the blood stage infections". 10. In Line 95, see "sites with DDT", what does "DDT" stand for? Please define. 11. Please write the term "World Health Organization" consistently. Do not write "world health organization". 12. Please write a sentence to mention each figure and each table before they are appeared in the text. 13. In Line 170, see "the weight of criterion C_i", it shall be written as "the weight of criterion C_i". 14. In Line 186, see "the normalized matrix N", it shall be written as "the normalized matrix N". 15. In Line 194, see "The random consistency index, RI", it shall be written as "The random consistency index (RI)". 16. In Line 224, see "in table 2 and 3", it shall be written as "in Table 2 and Table 3" 17. In Line 229, see "the results of table 4 and table 5", it shall be written as "the results of Table 4 and Table 5". 18. Please follow the format to prepare the references. 19. There are some grammatical mistakes, please do the correction carefully. 	
Minor REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments		
---------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sie Long Kek
Department, University & Country	Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Malaysia