

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_50772
Title of the Manuscript:	Analytical Hierarchy Process Model for Prioritizing Alternative Strategies for Malaria Control.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu- his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Good article, well written and interesting, is definitely to be published without further corrections	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed wit
	that part in the manuscript. It is m
	feedback here)

red with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tiziano Granucci
Department, University & Country	MIUR, Firenze, Italy