

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_44742
	Fluoride Distribution in Aquatic Environment in Vicinity of Aluminum Industry and its Correlation with Rainwater Chemis of Renukoot, District Sonbhadra, Uttar Pradesh
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment The paper suffer from major problems:	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu- his/her feedback here)
	 The objectives of the study are unclear, the potential sources of pollution are not discussed, nor the geological background of the study area. The authors jumped too soon on conclusions: contamination without comparing to certified guidelines, the industry is the source of pollution The data in the paper were not fully exploited, especially the statistical analysis part. Overall, a good paper that is worth publishing, after some major correction and language check! Good luck 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	El Azhari Abdellah
Department, University & Country	Cadi ayyad University, Morocco

nistry and Weather Parameter. A case study

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write