

# **ANALYZES OF PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PARAMETERS OF SURFACE WATERS FROM OGUNPA RIVER, IBADAN, NIGERIA**

---

## **ABSTRACT**

Physico-chemical indices of waters from Ogunpa River was evaluated for locational differences; seasonal variation; and to know if gradient effect is associated with the pollution dynamics. Data obtained were analyzed and compared with WHO thresholds for those parameters in water. Test results gave the following mean values viz:-Total Suspended Solids (TSS) 226.81mg/L, Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) 278.14mg/L, Temperature (T°C) 27.18°C, pH 7.57, Electrical Conductivity (EC) 191.28µs/cm, Sulphate (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) 0.03mg/L, Phosphate (PO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) 0.03mg/L, Nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) 0.02mg/L, Chlorine(Cl<sup>-</sup>) 0.03mg/L, Total Hardness (TH) 185.71mg/L, Alkalinity (Alk) 180.14mg/L, Dissolved Oxygen (DO) 8.60mg/L, Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) 0.59mg/L and Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) 109.89mg/L. Variation arising from locations and seasonal effects were analyzed using ANOVA at P<0.05 and the outcome presented no seasonal variation in all the parameters except for Cl<sup>-</sup>, TH, Alk, and COD. Also no significant variation between the test locations except for PO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and BOD. When compared to WHO recommended limits, values obtained were generally lower except for TH and COD that were above. It is concluded that surface waters from Ogunpa River, at the time of study, is within the recommended WHO values for normal survival and living of aquatic organisms.

**Keywords:** *Water pollution, physico-chemical parameter, seasonal variation, gradient effect.*

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Every form of life on earth depends on water in one form or the other for its subsistence. Humans can survive only few days without water, whereas, one can live for weeks without food. Water is especially valuable to human health, medicine, agriculture and industry. It is on record that the human body is mostly water and that the functionality of the human brain depends on water. Suffice to say, that the average human brain is 77-78% water [1].

Polluted water has various effects on humans, for domestic purposes as well as industrial and agricultural uses. Thus, it is important to test for water quality and purity before it is used for drinking. Water must be tested for different physicochemical parameters to ascertain its quality. Water contains different types of floating, dissolved, suspended and microbiological impurities. Some physical tests are required for ascertaining its physical appearance such as temperature, colour, odour, pH, turbidity hardness TDS, etc, while chemical tests should be performed for its BOD, COD, dissolved oxygen alkalinity, hardness and other characters [2]. It is recommended that drinking water should pass these entire tests and should contain the

required amount of minerals. Albeit, all these criteria have been enforced only in the developed industrialized countries for monitoring the quality of drinking water [3].

In Nigeria, attempts have been made to analyze the physico-chemical parameters of water in some water bodies. Significant among these are the assessment of physico-chemical properties of water from Moro Lake [4]. Which, according to the author, should be the baseline for assessing further changes experienced in the lake. Also, a study conducted on Ogbe Creek which recorded high total dissolved solids, biological oxygen demand, alkalinity and low values of dissolved oxygen and transparency [5]. Examination of physico-chemical characteristics of Ugborikoko/Okere stream as an index of pollution is another compelling contribution. The assessment of water quality of Badagry Creek, which runs through Benin Republic and Nigeria, showed that the physical and chemical parameters of the creek represent optimum conditions that would support many organisms [7]. A study conducted on physico-chemical parameters and heavy metal content of water from mangrove swamps of Lagos lagoon [2]. The study was aimed at assessing its suitability for fish production and well as its safety **fordrinkingpurposes** by collecting samples of water from three locations in the mangroves swamps of Lagos lagoon and all samples were analyzed for water quality parameters. The **resultsfavoured** the production of brackish water fish. However, it is highly contaminated and therefore not suitable for drinking purpose. The ever-increasing threats to long term sustainability of OgunpaRiver due to anthropogenic activities make the present study imperative. The physico-chemical profile of Ogunpa River was analyzed for water quality and its safety for drinking purpose by the community living around the water body.

## 2. METHODOLOGY

### 2.1 Study Area

Ogunpa River is situated in Ibadan, Oyo State Nigeria and is located between the coordinates of  $7^{\circ} 17', 14''$  and  $3^{\circ} 52' 3''E$ . It takes its sources from Ashi village and flows in a North-South direction through Old Bodija and New Bodija in Ibadan, Awolowo Avenue and eventually empties into Agodi Reservoir. The river serves as a source of water for domestic purposes such as car wash, bathing and agricultural activities. Domestic effluents and industrial agricultural wastes which contain both organic and inorganic matters dumped into the river add to the pollution level of the water body.

### 2.2 Determination of Physico-Chemical Parameters

The physico –chemical parameters of surface water measured are: Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), Total Suspended Solids (TSS), Temperature, Hydrogen ion concentration (pH), Electrical Conductivity (EC), Nitrate ( $NO_3^-$ ) Phosphate ( $PO_4^{3-}$ ), Sulphate ( $SO_4^{2-}$ ), Chloride (Cl<sup>-</sup>), Total Hardness (TH), Alkalinity (Al), Dissolved Oxygen (DO), Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD), Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD). The physico-chemical parameters of the water samples from the river were analyzed in the laboratory following APHA 1995 and 1998 [8,9] .

### 2.3 Collection of Water Samples

The study was conducted over a period of 12 months which **embracethe** dry and wet seasons in Ibadan. Water samples were collected about 10cm below water surface using 2-litre plastic bottles which were previously washed with non-ionic detergent rinsed with tap water soaked in 10%  $HNO_3$  and finally rinsed with distilled water. Collections were done monthly from five marked locations A, B, C, D and E that were stratified 1km apart along the

Ogunpa River. All samples were stored in the refrigerator at 4°C to inactivate any bacteria and prevent change in volume due to evaporation.

## 2.4 Statistical Analyses

Data collected were evaluated using one-way analysis of variance Results of the Laboratory analyses were subjected to data evaluation by use of one-way analysis of variance (F-statistic). Linear regression curves were plotted to determine slopes, gradients and correlation coefficients. Values from each parameter were compared with the WHO standard for decision making.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Physical Characteristics of the Water Body

Table 1 gave mean values of TSS, TDS, Temperature pH and Ec in the Ogunpa River. Basic analyses carried out on each of these parameters gave the following results.

**Table 1. Mean values of physico-chemical parameters in water samples from Ogunpa River along location (A to E)**

PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PARAMETER	LOCATIONS					MEAN
	A	B	C	D	E	
TSS (mg/L)	165.72	262.10	229.07	243.44	196.63	219.39
TDS (mg/L)	247.89	235.32	251.57	225.00	257.51	243.45
Temp (°C)	26.56	27.63	26.88	27.67	27.03	27.15
pH	7.63	7.82	7.78	7.30	7.27	7.56
EC (µs/cm)	212.66	216.88	211.19	214.85	209.13	212.94
SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> (mg/L)	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.026
PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup> (mg/L)	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.032
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.022
Cl <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.028
TH (mg/L)	213.20	247.29	178.32	161.30	176.98	195.42
Alk (mg/L)	220.96	187.24	194.89	219.70	201.64	204.88
DO (mg/L)	8.91	8.18	7.69	8.25	8.41	8.29
BOD (mg/L)	0.76	0.63	0.59	0.47	0.53	0.596
COD (mg/L)	105.57	118.82	92.94	100.82	97.29	101.68

**Table 2. Physico-chemical parameters in waters along Ogunpa River during the dry season**

PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PARAMETER	LOCATIONS					MEAN
	A	B	C	D	E	
TSS (mg/L)	152.59	183.88	217.50	322.50	183.25	211.96
TDS (mg/L)	167.94	232.50	251.25	223.75	168.38	208.76
Temp (°C)	25.54	28.04	27.01	28.00	27.03	27.12
pH	7.46	7.75	8.13	7.30	7.09	7.55
EC (µs/cm)	230.63	255.63	231.75	213.75	241.25	234.60
SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> (mg/L)	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.022
PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup> (mg/L)	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.034
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.024
Cl <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.026
TH (mg/L)	238.13	280.39	159.33	146.88	200.88	205.12
Alk (mg/L)	230.00	199.45	226.00	263.76	228.89	229.62
DO (mg/L)	8.49	7.75	7.15	8.10	8.36	7.97
BOD (mg/L)	0.77	0.66	0.64	0.42	0.52	0.60
COD (mg/L)	91.75	96.75	94.63	87.38	96.88	93.48

**Table 3. Physico-chemical parameters in waters along Ogunpa River during the wet season**

PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PARAMETER	LOCATIONS					MEAN
	A	B	C	D	E	
TSS (mg/L)	178.75	340.31	240.63	164.38	210.00	226.81
TDS (mg/L)	327.81	238.13	251.88	226.25	346.63	278.14
Temp (°C)	27.57	27.21	26.75	27.34	27.02	27.18
pH	7.79	7.89	7.43	7.29	7.45	7.57
EC (µs/cm)	194.69	178.13	190.63	215.94	177.00	191.28
SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> (mg/L)	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03
PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup> (mg/L)	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
Cl <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.03
TH (mg/L)	188.26	214.19	197.31	175.72	153.07	185.71
Alk (mg/L)	211.91	175.03	163.75	175.63	174.38	180.14
DO (mg/L)	9.33	8.60	8.22	8.40	8.45	8.60
BOD (mg/L)	0.75	0.60	0.54	0.51	0.54	0.59
COD (mg/L)	119.38	126.88	91.25	114.25	97.69	109.89

**Table 4: Physico-chemical analyses, gradient effect and seasonal variation**

PHYSICAL	GRADIENT ALONG LAST LOCATIONS ON OGUNPA RIVER (A-E)			SEASONAL VARIATION OGUNPA RIVER (F & H) T-STATISTICS AT P<0.05
	*Slope	r <sup>2</sup>	Gradient effect (dy/dx), ANOVA at R<0.05	
TSS	-ve	0.001	0	0
TDS	+ve	0.01	0	1
Temp	+ve	0.02	0	0
pH	-ve	0.68	0	0
EC	-ve	0.02	0	0
<b>CHEMICAL</b>				
SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	-ve	0.09	0	0
PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup>	+ve	0.44	1	0
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	-ve	0.49	0	0
Cl <sup>-</sup>	+ve	0.62	0	1
TH	-ve	0.60	0	1
Alk	-ve	0.10	0	1
DO	-ve	0.23	0	0
BOD	-ve	0.78	1	0
COD	-ve	0.36	0	1

**Note:** For gradient (dy/dx) and Seasonal variation:  
 (1) = significantly established (0) = Not significantly established  
 \* Slope is slanting course from horizontal.

**3.1.1 Total Suspended Solids (TSS)**

The mean TSS of the water was 219.39mg/L. Mean value in the dry season was 211.964mg/L while the mean value of TSS for the wet season was 226.81mg/L. T-test statistic at 95% C.I showed no significant difference which implies no seasonal variation. At P<0.05 one-way analysis of variance (F-statistic) showed no significant differences in TSS at various locations which imply no gradient effect. A linear regression of TSS along the sample locations showed a weak negative correlation (eqn. 1).

$$\text{TSS. } Y = -0.9044X + 224.58 \quad (r^2 = 1.1\%) \quad \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

Note: that all equations 1 to 4 to be presented  
 Y = specific physical parameter (dependent variable)  
 X = distance from source in meters (independent variable)

**3.1.2 Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)**

The mean annual TDS of the water was 243.45mg/L. Mean value in the dry and wet seasons was 208.764mg/L and 278.14mg/L respectively. T-test statistic at 95% C.I showed no significant difference between the two seasons. At P<0.05 one-way analysis of variance (F-statistic) showed no significant differences in TDS at the various locations which imply no gradient effect. A linear regression of TDS along the sample locations in Ogunpa River showed a weak positive correlation (eqn. 2).

$$\text{TDS } Y = 1.454X + 250.85 \quad (r^2 = 0.8\%) \quad \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

**3.1.3 Temperature**

The mean annual temperature of the water was 27.15°C. One-way analysis of variance (F-statistic) showed that temperature not significantly different between the locations, thus, no

gradient effect. Linear regression of T°C along the sample locations showed a weak positive correlation (eqn. 3). In the dry season, the average temperature was 27.124°C and in the wet season, it was 27.18°C. T-test statistic at 95% C.I. showed no significant difference in temperature for the two seasons.

$$T^{\circ}C \quad Y \quad = \quad 0.33X + 27.061 \quad (r^2 = 2.36\%) \quad \dots\dots\dots (3)$$

**3.1.4 pH**

The pH of Ogunpa River generally alkaline has a mean value of 7.56 Table 1. One-way analysis of variance (F-statistic) showed no significant difference in pH in all the sampling locations, which signifies no gradient effect. A linear regression analysis of pH along the sample locations showed a strong negative correlation (Eqn. 4). The mean pH value during the dry season was 7.546 (Tables 2 and 3). T-test statistics at 95% C.I. no significant difference in pH for the two seasons.

$$pH \quad Y \quad = \quad -0.12552X + 7.9373 \quad (r^2 = 68.44\%) \quad \dots\dots\dots (4)$$

**3.2 Chemical Characteristics of The Water Body**

Mean values of SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, PO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, TH, Alk, DO, BOD and COD in Ogunpa River are presented in Table 1. Each of the parameters was subjected to further evaluation giving the following results.

**3.2.1 Sulphate (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>)**

The mean value of sulphate in the water body was 0.026mg/L (Table 1). Mean value of sulphate during the dry season was 0.022mg/L while in the wet season was 0.030mg/L (Tables 2 and 3) T-test statistic at 95% C.I. showed no significant difference in the two seasons. One-way analysis of variance (F-statistic) showed no significant difference in sulphate at all locations which imply no gradient effect. A linear regression of SO<sub>4</sub> along the sample locations showed a weak negative correlation (eqn. 5)

$$SO_4: \quad Y \quad = \quad -0.006X + 0.028 \quad \dots\dots\dots (5)$$

Note: that in all equations 5 to 12

Y = chemical parameter measured in mg/L (dependent variable)

X = distance from source in meters (independent variable)

**3.2.2 Phosphate (PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup>)**

The mean value for phosphate was 0.032mg/L (Table 1). Seasonally mean value of phosphate in the dry season was 0.034mg/L while the mean concentration during the wet season was 0.030mg/L (Tables 2 and 3). T-test statistic at 95% C.I. showed no significant difference between the two seasons. One-way analysis of variance (F-statistic) showed significantly different which between the locations at P<0.05 which implies gradient effect. A linear regression of PO<sub>4</sub> along the sample locations showed a weak positive correlation (Eqn. 6).

$$PO_4 \quad Y \quad = \quad 0.0029X + 0.0247 \quad (r^2 = 44\%) \quad \dots\dots\dots (6)$$

**3.2.3 Nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>)**

The mean value of Nitrate was 0.022mg/L (Table 1) for the experimental year. One-way analysis of variance (F-statistic) results showed no significant difference (P<0.05) in Nitrate at the various locations which implies no gradient effect.

Mean values of Nitrate in the dry season was 0.024mg/L while during the wet season the concentration was 0.02mg/L. T-test statistic at 95% C.I. showed no significant difference between the two seasons. A linear regression of NO<sub>3</sub> along the sample locations showed a weak negative correlation (Eqn. 7).

$$\text{NO}_3^- \quad Y = -0.0012X + 0.026 \quad (r^2 = 49\%) \quad \dots\dots\dots (7)$$

**3.2.4 Chloride (Cl<sup>-</sup>)**

The mean concentration of chloride in the water samples was 0.028mg/L (Table 1). Chloride during the dry season was 0.026mg/L while mean value during the wet season was 0.03mg/L (Tables 2 and 3). At P<0.05, one-way analysis of variance was no significant difference in chloride in all the locations, which implies no gradient effect (Table 4). T-test statistics at 95% C.I. showed significant seasonal variation (Table 4). A linear regression of chloride along the sample locations showed a resultant positive correlation (Eqn. 8).

$$\text{Cl}^- \quad Y = 0.0021X + 0.0202 \quad (r^2 = 62\%) \quad \dots\dots\dots (8)$$

**3.2.5 Total Hardness (TH)**

Mean TH value in the waters for the test period was 195.42mg/L (Table). TH during the dry season was 205.12mg/L while mean during the wet season was 185.71mg/L (Tables 2 and 3). One way analysis of variance (F-statistic) at P<0.05 showed there was no significant difference in TH in all the locations, which implies no gradient effect (Table 4). A linear regression of TH along the sample locations showed a weak negative correlation (Eqn. 9). T-test statistic at 95% C.I. showed significant seasonal variation traceable to higher carbonate and bicarbonate in the waters during the dry season.

$$\text{TH: } Y = -14.191X + 234.75 \quad (r^2 = 60\%) \quad \dots\dots\dots (9)$$

**3.2.6 Alkalinity (Alk)**

Mean Alkalinity value averaged 204.88mg/L in the test waters for the experimental year (Table 1). The mean values of Alkalinity during dry and wet seasons were 228.89mg/L and 180.14mg/L respectively (Tables 2 and 3). One-way analysis of variance (F-statistic) at P<0.05 showed no significant differences in Alkalinity at the various locations which **implyno** gradient effect (Table 4). A linear regression of Alk along the sample locations showed a weak negative correlation (Eqn. 10). T-test statistic at 95% C.I. showed significant seasonal variation in the two seasons (Table 4).

$$\text{Alk } Y = -2.8959X + 205.32 \quad (r^2 = 10\%) \quad \dots\dots\dots (10)$$

**3.2.7. Dissolved Oxygen (DO)**

The mean concentration of DO in sampled waters was 8.29mg/L (Table 1). The mean values of DO in the dry and wet seasons were 7.97mg/L, 8.45mg/L respectively (Tables 2 and 3). The T-test statistics at 95% C.I. showed no significant difference in the two seasons (Table 4). One-way analysis of variance (F-statistics) showed no significant difference between the locations implying no gradient effect (Table 4). A linear regression of DO along the sample locations showed a negative correlation (Eqn. 11).

$$\text{DO: } Y = 0.1281X + 8.773 \quad (r^2 = 23\%) \quad \dots\dots\dots (11)$$

**3.2.8 Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)**

Biochemical Oxygen Demand of the water body averaged 0.60mg/L (Table 1) in the experimental year. One-way analysis of variance (F-statistic) at P<0.05 showed a significant difference in values obtained from all the locations which **implygradient** effect. BOD value of dry season was 0.60mg/L and mean value during the wet season was 0.59mg/L (Tables 2

and 3). T-test statistics at 95% C.I. showed no significant difference in the two seasons (Table 4). A linear regression of BOD along with the sample location a negative correlation (Eqn. 12).

$$\text{BOD: } Y = -06X + 0.772 \quad (r^2 = 78\%) \quad \dots\dots\dots (12)$$

### **3.2.9 Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)**

The chemical oxygen demand of the water body averaged 101.68mg/L (Table 1) in the experimental year. At P<0.05 one-way analysis of variance (F-statistic) showed no significant difference in values obtained from all the locations which imply no gradient effect. The mean COD during the dry season was 93.48mg/L while the mean COD during the wet season was 109.89mg/L (Tables 2 and 3). A linear regression of COD along the sample locations showed a negative correlation (Eqn. 13). C.I. also showed no significant difference which implies no seasonal variation in the two seasons.

$$\text{COD: } Y = -3.704X + 11553 \quad (r^2 = 36\%) \quad \dots\dots\dots (13)$$

## **4.0 DISCUSSION**

TSS which is a measure of solids and an indicator of water quality. Incidentally, we could not lay hand on any legal permissible concentration for TSS in this study. Thus, after Moris[10], any value of TSS below 30mg/L is regarded as low while values above 100mg/L are considered high. The high concentration of TSS can lower water quality by absorbing light. This has adverse effects on aquatic plants which receive less light, which decreases photosynthesis and resultant less oxygen is produced, suspended solids have the ability to clog fish gills, decrease both growth rate, resistance to diseases and prevent egg and larval development [2]. Suspended solid is often affected by the stream flow and anthropogenic activities which disturbs land activities. In this study higher value of TSS was recorded in the wet season than the dry season which is traceable to rise in TSS after heavy rainfall.

The total dissolved solids test is used as an indicator test to determine the general quality of the water. The sources of total solids can include all of the dissolved cations and anions. The mean TDS value of the water body was below the recommended maximum concentration level of 500mg/L for drinking water set by World Health Organization [11]. Ela [12] classified water according to the concentration of TDS per litre; fresh water <1500mg/L, TDS, brackish water 1500 to 5000mg/L and saline water 5000mg/L. This is an indication that the water in the Ogunpa River can be regarded as freshwater. Most of the aquatic environments including mixed fish fauna have tolerance for TDS level of 1000mg/L [13]. The high concentration of TDS has an undesirable taste which could be salty, bitter or metallic which be as a result of the presence of toxic heavy metals in the water.

Water temperature affects water quality parameters and plays an important role in aquatic life. Water temperature affects the Dissolved oxygen in the water. The solubility of oxygen reduces water temperature rises. The mean annual temperature was above 25°C the WHO recommended a value for water [11]. However, the mean annual temperature recorded was adequate for the survival, metabolism, and physiology of aquatic organisms. The mean temperature of 27.15°C recorded is in agreement with other African water bodies recorded by [14]. The pH of pure water is 7. The pH of water from Ogunpa River is considered satisfactory, it is within the recommended of 6.5-8.5 for drinking water set by World Health Organization [11]. The survival, metabolism, and growth of the aquatic organism is affected by the pH of the water in the ecosystem [15]. The photosynthesis and growth of the aquatic plant is affected by the pH [16] indicated that pH higher than 7 but lower than 8 is adequate for biological activity, but pH less than 4 is harmful to aquatic life. The presence of carbonate and bicarbonate in water can be the cause of high pH [17]. The low pH in the water of most

aquatic ecosystem can be caused by decaying vegetation [18]. The run-off from surrounding rocks and water discharged, alkalinity and acidity can affect the pH.

The mean Electrical conductivity for Ogunpa River was lower than the recommended standard of 1,000 $\mu$ s/cm for drinking water by World Health Organization [11]. Electrical conductivity increases when salts are dissolved in water. The mean sulphate concentration in the water body was below 200mg/L recommended for drinking water by World Health Organization [11]. Sulphate can occur naturally in freshwater which is leached from the soil.

The sources of  $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$  in the water body could be due to anthropogenic activities from fertilizers pesticides, industry and cleaning compounds. Natural sources of pH include phosphate containing rocks and solid or liquid wastes. The WHO safe limit of phosphate in drinking water is 250mg/L[11], hence the value obtained in this study does not exceed the permissible level. The mean value of  $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$  is low, it should not give any serious threat to human and aquatic habitat.

High chloride concentration gives salty taste to water and beverages. The WHO recommended a level of chloride in drinking water is 250mg/L, hence the value does not exceed the permissible level. However, it was reported that  $\text{Cl}^-$  higher than 20mg/L are advantageous in thorough freshwater fish culture [19].

Nitrogen plays a very important role at a high level of eutrophication in the aquatic ecosystem. In such a situation like this, nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria can cause more problem than any other types of algae [20]. Rast and Thornton [21] reported that eutrophication-related problems begin to increase at N concentrations of the order of 0.34 – 0.70mg N/L. The  $\text{NO}_3^-$  N mean concentration of Ogunpa River did not exceed the threshold value of 0.70mg/L and would thus not contribute to eutrophication in the water body. The mean concentration of Nitrate was below 10.00mg/L level stipulated by the WHO for drinking water [11]. Nitrate concentrations above 10mg/L are harmless but the water may contain toxic substance and pollutant from industrial or agricultural sources [22].

Total Hardness in water constitutes the measurement of calcium and magnesium natural present in rock formations which is often responsible for hardness concentrations in water bodies. The WHO maximum allowable concentration of TH in drinking water is 100mg/L[11]. It was, however observed that Ogunpa River contained concentrations higher than the above stated World Health Organization limit. The high concentration of TH recorded might be due to natural sources due to weathering of rocks when comprises calcium and magnesium carbonates and addition of calcium and magnesium through run-off from agricultural and other catchment zones during raining season. Total hardness greater than 15mg/L  $\text{CaCO}_3$  is reported adequate for fish growth while less concentration causes retarded growth of fish and will require liming for increased fish production [23,24].

The alkalinity of water deal with the quality and types of components present such as bicarbonate, carbonate, and hydroxide. Removal of  $\text{CO}_2$  from the bicarbonates for the process of photosynthesis by algae may expand total alkalinity [25]. The World Health Organization maximum allowable concentration of alkalinity in drinking water is 400mg/L [11]. Ogunpa River contained concentrations of alkalinity lower than the above WHO limit. The mean value obtained was lower compared with the report of Saliu and Ekpo[5] who recorded the value of >250mg/L Ogbe creek, Lagos.

Dissolved oxygen concentration in water is of great significance to all aquatic organisms and is considered to be component which revolves on physical and biological process occurring in a water body. It is significant in the production and support of life. It regulates the nature of

the entire aquatic ecosystem to a high extent. Supplies of oxygen in a water body are mainly from two sources directly from the atmosphere and during the process of photosynthesis by aquatic plants that possess chlorophyll. The concentration of DO relies on surface agitation due to temperature respiration rate of the living organisms and the decomposition rate of dead organic matters. Dissolved oxygen concentration in unpolluted water is normally about 8 to 10mg/L (at 25°C) [25] and concentration below 5mg/L can adversely affect aquatic organisms [26,27]. Water samples from Ogunpa River have to DO within the limits 8 to 10mg/L stated for unpolluted water at 25°C [26] which can be regarded as unpolluted can, therefore, support the functioning and survival of the biological ecosystem.

The biochemical oxygen demand of the water body is below 6mg/L of BOD stipulated by WHO for portable water WHO[11]. Vannoteet *al.*[28] stated that rivers with high BOD have high levels of nutrients in the water. The low BOD value of the body could be as a result of low levels of nutrients in the water. Chemical oxygen demand measures the quantity of oxygen required for chemical oxidation of most organic matter and oxidable inorganic substances with the aid of strong chemical oxidant. The WHO maximum allowable concentration of COD in drinking water is 10mg/L [11].

It was, however, observed that the COD in water in Ogunpa River contained concentrations higher than the above stated WHO limit. Emmanuel and Ogunwemimo[29] reported the lowest COD value of 35mg/L in January and the highest value of 51mg/L at AbuleAgege creek of Lagos. High levels of COD indicated that there was the decomposition of organic and inorganic compounds in the water that requires high levels of oxygen in the water. The high value of COD analyzed may pose a danger for the safety of the water for drinking purposes.

## 5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In this work, the water quality of Ogunpa River, in terms of physico-chemical parameters, was determined. The results of TDS, pH, EC,  $SO_4^{2-}$ ,  $PO_4^{3-}$ ,  $NO_3^-$ ,  $Cl^-$  and BOD fall within the recommended limits of WHO and could support healthy survival of flora and fauna constituents in the aquatic system.

However, increase in anthropogenic activities from industrialization, population growth, and urbanization along the Ogunpa River could upset the water quality. Proper measures must therefore be put in place for sustainability and to discourage users from polluting the water. Appropriate education on human behaviors such as direct unhygienic defecation and dumping of untreated wastes and also monitoring and control to prevent source of pollution and improve health of the water body are essential.

## REFERENCES

1. FanTrivia L. Brain Lipids and lipoproteins and the leucodystrophies. *Journal on Neurochem*, 8: 2002:276.
2. Lawson EO. Physico-chemical parameters and heavy metal content of water from the Mangrove Swamps of Lagos Lagoon, Lagos, Nigeria. *Advances in Biological Research* 2011;5(1):08-21.
3. Seema T. Water quality parameters: A Review. *International Journal of Engineering Science Inversion Research Development*: 2015;(IX): 319-324.
4. Mustapha MK, Omotosho JS. An assessment of the physico-chemical properties of Moro Lake, Kwara State, Nigeria. *African Journal of Applied Zoology and Environmental Biology*. 2005;7:73-77.

5. Saliu, JK, Ekpo MP. Preliminary chemical and biological assessment of Ogbe Creek, Lagos Nigeria. *West Africa Journal of Applied Ecology (WAJAE)*. 2006;9:14-22.
6. Pretiemo-Clarke, BO, Balogun MA, Akpojiyowwi O. A study of Physico-chemical characteristics of stream as an index pollution. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 8(22):6272-6276.
7. Akintola SL, Anetekhai MA, Lawson EO. Some physico-chemical characteristics of Badagry Creek. *West African Journal of Applied Ecology*. 2011;18:95-102.
8. American Public Health Association (APHA). *Standard methods for the examination of water and waste water*. Washington DC, US: American Public Health Association; 1995.
9. American Public Health Association (APHA). *Standard methods for the examination of water and waste water: 20<sup>th</sup> American Public Health Association*. Washington DC, USA: American Public Health Association; 1998, 15-20.
10. MorisL.. Indirect effects of contaminants in aquatic ecosystems. *Journal Am. Oil Chemists SOL*. 1961;38: 14.
11. World Health Organization (WHO) 2011. *World Health Organization Guidelines for drinking water quality*. 4<sup>th</sup> Edition. WHO Press. Geneva. pp564
12. Ela WP. *Introduction to environmental engineering and science*. 3rd ed. (2008). PrenticeHallGilbert M. Masters, Stanford University. Wendell P. Ela, University of Arizona.
13. Boyd CE. *Water quality: An introduction*. The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers Group; 1999.
14. Mustapha MK. Assessment of the water quality of Oyun Reservoir, Offa, Nigeria using selected physico-chemical parameters. *Turk. J. Fish aquat. Sci*. 8: 2008;309-319.
15. Ramanathan N, Dadmarathy P, Francis T, Athithian S, Selvaranjitham N. *Manual on polyculture of tiger shrimp and carps in freshwater*. Thothukudi: Tamil Nadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Fisheries College and Research Institute. 2005, 1-161.
16. Abowei JFN. Salinity, dissolved oxygen, pH and surface water temperature conditions in Nkoro River, Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Adv. J. Food Sci. Technol*. 2010;2(1):16-21.
17. Kara T, Kara I, Basaran D. Investigation of some physical and chemical parameters of water in the Lake Isykli in Denizli. Turkey. *International J. Agriculture and Biol*. 2004;6(2):275-277.
18. Pidgeon, RWJ, Cains SC. Decomposition and colonization by invertebrates of nature and exotic leaf material in a small stream in New England (Australia). *Hydrobiologia*. 1987;77:13-124.
19. Lewis WM, Morris DP. Toxicity of nitrite to fish: A review. *Trans. Am. Fish Soc*. 1996;115:183-195.
20. Korselman W, Menlman AFM. On N:P ratios and nature of nutrients limitation. *J. Applied Ecology* 1996;33:1441-1451.
21. Rast, W. and Thornton (1996). *Trends in Eutrophication Research and Control*. Hydrological processes 10: 295-313.
22. Michael KS. (2003) *Fish medicine*. Philadelphia.: W.B. Saunders Company
23. Ali M, Salam, A, Azeem, A, Shafique M, Khab BA. studies on the effect of seasonal variations on physical and chemical characteristics of mixed water from Rivers Ravi and Chenab at Union Site in Pakistan. *Journal of Research Science*. 2000;2:1-17.
24. Iqbal F, Ali M, Salam A, Khan BA, Ahmad S, Qamar M. Seasonal variations of physico-chemical characteristics of river soan water at DhoakPathan Bridge (Chakwal) Pakistan. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology* 2003;6(1):89-92.

25. Durrani IA. Oxidative mineralization of plankton with its impact on eutrophication of Bhopal. Ph.D. Thesis. Barkatullah University, Bhopal, 1993.
26. DFID. A simple methodology for water quality monitoring. Pearce GR, Chaudhy MR. Ghulum S. edited. Walling Ford: Department for International Development.
27. Fatoki OS, Gogwana P, Ogunfowokan AO. Pollution assessment in the Kerskamma River and in the Impoundment Downstream. *Water South Africa*. 2003;29(2):83-187.
28. Vannote RL, Minshall GW, Gummins KW, Sedell JR, Cushing CE. The river continuum concept. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science*. 1980;37:130-139.
29. Emmanuel BE, Ogunwemimo CA. The macrobenthos and the fish of a tropical estuarine creek in Lagos, South Western Nigeria. Report and Opinion 2010;2(1):6-13.

UNDER PEER REVIEW