



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_48364
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and analgesic activities of leaves of Saba senegalensis (A.DC) Pichon (Apocynaceae).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please put keywords to your abstract. 2. Phytochemical analysis aspects missing in your abstract. 3. In your introduction part, there is no literature on analgesic activity 4. From the Introductory part, the penultimate paragraph , the reference[10] talks of the influence of flavonoids in inflammatory bowel disease but your sentence does not commensurate well with the reference. It is not the correct reference for your sentence. Kindly look for a better reference. 5. In 2.3 section..sample preserved for phytochemistry.. yet there is nowhere from your article where phytochemical studies were done...therefore it is either a misplaced statement or you forgot to chip in phytochemical studies 6. It would be also advisable to indicate the instrument you used for % inhibition evaluation results. 7. Discussion section, the third paragraph second last sentence.morever phytochemical screening of AD and HEM.... from your article you have not done any phytochemical screening.. 8. Discussion section..fifth paragraph last sentence,,...the anti-inflammatory effect of pharmacological.... requires a reference to sum up thesentence. 9. Reference..Wang,2003..should be numbered as the other references is done on the manuscript. 10. Reference number 21 is misplaced ..it has not said anything on flavonoids, phenolic compounds nor there is no phytochemical studies done as per the reference is concerned..anthelmintic...peruse through the article [21]..no such of what you claimed in your discussion is mention on the studies[21]. 11. Your conclusive remarks as per phytochemical screening concern is NOT true since you have not done any assay on phytochemical screening nor your discussion has not given a clear evidence on phytochemistry studies. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anthony O Ochieng
Department, University & Country	Sumait University, Tanzania