



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_50504
Title of the Manuscript:	The effect of ethanol extract of <i>Elephantopus scaber</i> Linn in decreasing blood uric acid levels of hyperuricemic male rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Line 4: Authors detail should be included after the topic</p> <p>Line 18 and 20: Number of rats used and the 6 groups should be mentioned in the abstract</p> <p>Line 19,20 and 24: Author should mention the equipment/technique for uric acid measurement to tell us how hyper or hypouricaemia is deduced</p> <p>Line 28 and 61: Author should remove the coloured statements</p> <p>Line 140 and 142: I taught author meant 6th day and not 7th day because its against his/her days in the result</p> <p>Line 144: Author only mentioned the equipment, the principle of operation of the equipment should be written out since it's a strip, what does the machine picks /how does the machine converts it to readings/value</p> <p>Line 236: Author should italicise <i>et al</i></p> <p>Line 238 – 264: Author should have put this under introduction as the chemical properties of <i>E scaber</i>, as it's the work of someone else and would have served as justification for the work, and that is why it supported the work in line 269-271.</p> <p>Line 266: Author should correct the statement</p> <p>Line 286: References do not follow uniform pattern, author should recheck</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Awofadeju Stephen Olajide</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Obafemi Awolowo University, Nigeria</i>