SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**European Journal of Medicinal Plants**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_EJMP_50746**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Characterization of Polyphenols and Mineral Contents in Three Medicinal Weeds**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	**This manuscript is good in its contents and composition, only needs a little improvement**	
Minor REVISION comments	**Weeds, in keywords better change to be medicinal weeds.** **Keywords are usually arranged in alphabetical** **In row 69, tanning acid, sloud be tannic acid** **In row 77 the title should not be separated from the contents, you should move it to the next page** **In row 91, This table should be on one page, the contents of the table are written in letters smaller than the text**	
Optional/General comments	**There are 8 from 30 references out of date (over ten years)**	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	*Maria Bintang*
Department, University & Country	*IPB University (Bogor Agricultural University), Indonesia*