

**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_50314
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT OF THE MICROBIAL LOAD OF BEEF AND CHICKEN MEAT COLLECTED AT DIFFERENT HOURS OF THE DAY IN EKPOMA TOWN MARKET</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/30/editorial-policy>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> ( <i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i> )
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	1. In conclusion chicken meat had low microbial load in the morning and evening but how it was high in the afternoon. Add some information in this section.  2. once check grammatical errors	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

---



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>S Selvajeyanthi</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Tiruppur Kumaran College For Women, India</i></b>