



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_50475
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of Arsenic Content in Different Brands of Rice Sold in Port Harcourt, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/30/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In abstract section, unit of arsenic was not indicated. 2. When a word is used for the first time, abbreviation should not used. E.g. Arsenic and As. 3. In digestion section, what does 1 gm mean? 4. In material an method section, there was not indicated any references. 5. On table 1, there is not an explanation related to abbreviation form. 6. In generally, there is not any explanation about abbreviation. 7. In conclusion section, arsenic remediation should be mentioned. 8. All references were numbered in all manuscript but in reference section, there is not any number! 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Esra Ersoy Omeroglu
Department, University & Country	Ege University, Turkey