

Original Research Article

Household Food Insecurity and Associated Dietary and Socio-Economic Factors among Pregnant Women of Mid-West Bangladesh

ABSTRACT

Purpose: The aim of the present study was to estimate the prevalence of household food insecurity and to figure out the dietary and non-dietary factors associated with household food insecurity among pregnant women of mid-west Bangladesh.

Methodology: The study was conducted in four sub-districts of Rajshahi district: Rajshahi Sadar, Godagari, Tanor and Shardah. It was a cross-sectional study which randomly enrolled 150 pregnant women. Household food insecurity among the respondents was calculated by Household Food Insecurity Access Scale (HFIAS).

Results: The mean age of the pregnant women was 29 ± 3 years. About 76% of respondents were food secure, 23% of respondents were mildly food insecure, and only 1% of respondents were moderately food insecure. Severe food insecurity was not observed among the respondents in Rajshahi. About 17% of respondents were anxious and uncertain about their household food supply, about 23% of respondents said that they had to eat foods of insufficient quality and only 1% of respondents replied that they had eaten insufficient food during the month prior to study. It was observed that mean Dietary Diversity Score (DDS) and mean Food Consumption Score (FCS) significantly differed ($P<.05$) between food secure and food insecure respondents. Meat, fish and poultry consumption were found higher among the food secure respondents but vegetable consumption was higher among the food insecure group. Some socio-economic factors such as household size, respondents' educational status, husbands' educational status, husbands' occupation and monthly household income were significantly associated ($P<.05$) with household food insecurity of the respondents.

Keywords: Food insecurity, dietary factors, socio-economic factors, pregnant women

INTRODUCTION

About 6–73 % of population is affected by food insecurity in developed and developing countries [1-7]. In Asia, 6.9% people have been found to suffer from severe food insecurity [8]. Maternal and child nutrition have been found to be associated with food insecurity [9-10]. Moreover, maternal anemia [11] and maternal mental illness [12-13] are also associated with food insecurity. Household food security is required to maintain adequate nutrition during pregnancy. Various methods have been employed to measure food insecurity [15-17]. The current study used Household Food Insecurity Access Scale (HFIAS) score to assess food insecurity access. Numerous studies have been conducted on household food insecurity and associated factors. No study has been conducted on the food insecurity among the pregnant women of mid-west Bangladesh. Hence, the purpose of the current study was to measure the

45 prevalence of food insecurity among pregnant women in mid-west Bangladesh and find out the
46 factors associated with food insecurity in this region.

47

48 MATERIALS AND METHODS

49 Study Area, Study Design and Study Period

50 The study was conducted in Rajshahi district which is located in mid-west Bangladesh. It was a
51 cross-sectional study which was undertaken from November, 2018 to February, 2019.

52 Sampling Technique and Sample Size

53 150 pregnant women were randomly selected from four sub-districts of Rajshahi: Rajshahi
54 Sadar, Godagari, Tanor and Shardah. The pregnant women who were included in the study
55 were more than 19 years of age and those who had severe diseases such as HIV, Tuberculosis
56 were not included in the study.

Comment [U1]: What informed this sample size?

57 Data Collection

58 A pretested questionnaire was used to collect data on socio-demographic and economic
59 characteristics and household food security status.

60

61 Statistical Analysis

62 The statistical analysis was done by IBM SPSS Statistics 21.0. The statistical tools which were
63 used were mean, Pearson Chi-square test, independent samples t-test.

64

65 Household Food Insecurity Access Scale (HFIAS) Score Measurement

66 A questionnaire containing nine occurrence questions and nine frequency of occurrence
67 questions was used to measure HFIAS scores [14]. Respondents were divided into four
68 categories: Food secure, mildly food insecure, moderately food insecure, severely food insecure
69 based on the scores. The nine conditions (responses to nine occurrence questions) were
70 combined to create three domains: anxiety and uncertainty of household food supply,
71 insufficient quality of food, insufficient food intake and its physical consequences. Several
72 validation studies have been conducted for evaluating the feasibility of this scale to assess food
73 insecurity in different settings [14-19].

Formatted: Default

74

75 RESULTS

76 Socio-demographic and Economic Characteristics of Pregnant Women

77 Table 1 illustrates the socio-demographic and economic characteristics of the pregnant women.
78 About 83% and 15% of the pregnant women were on their second and third trimester,
79 respectively. Only 8% of the respondents got married at an adolescent stage. About 9% of the
80 households had had three members and about 29% of households had five or more than five
81 household members. About 50% of the pregnant women had Honors or Masters degree and
82 about 72% of the husbands had completed Honors or Masters degree. No husbands were found
83 to have educational status below HSC. All of the pregnant women were housewives and most
84 of the husbands (79%) were wage earners and about 19% were farmers. About 16% of the
85 households had monthly income of

86

87 **Table 1: Socio-demographic and economic characteristics of the pregnant women**

88 N.B: SSC= Secondary School Certificate, HSC= Higher Secondary Certificate, Hons.= Honors degree,
89 BDT=Bangladeshi Taka

Socio-demographic and economic characteristics		Frequency	Percent
Area	Rural	39	26
	Urban	111	74
Age (in years)	23-28	54	36.1
	29-31	56	37.4
	≥32	40	26.5
Trimester	First Trimester	3	2
	Second Trimester	125	83.3
	Third Trimester	22	14.7
Age at first marriage (in years)	18-19	11	8
	20-23	105	63.3
	≥24	34	28.7
Household size	Three	14	9.3
	Four	93	62
	≥ Five	43	28.7
Educational status of respondents	SSC	21	14
	HSC	53	35.3
	Hons.	75	50
	Masters	1	0.7
Educational status of respondents' husband	HSC	27	18
	Hons.	87	58
	Masters	36	24
	Others	2	1.3
Occupation of respondents' husband	Business	1	0.7
	Wage earner	119	79.3
	Agriculture	28	18.7
	Others	2	1.3
Monthly household income (in BDT)	14000-25000	24	16
	25001-30000	64	42.7
	>30000	62	41.3
Earning member	One	108	72
	Two	42	28

90 | fourteen-thousand to twenty-five thousand **₹**Taka and 84% of households had income greater
91 | than
92 | twenty-five thousand Taka. Most of the families (72%) had one earning member and about 28%
93 | of families had two earning members.
94 |

95 | **Household Food Insecurity Status of Pregnant Women**

96 | It can be observed from the figure 1.a. that about 76% of the respondents were found to be food
97 | secure, 23% were mildly food insecure and only 1% were moderately food insecure. Severe food
98 | insecurity was not found among the respondents in Rajshahi district. Figure 1.b. depicts the three
99 | domains of household food insecurity. About 17% of the respondents were anxious and uncertain
100 | about household food supply during the past 4-weeks prior to the study. About 23% of the
101 | respondents had to eat foods of insufficient quality that is, they had to take less varieties of food
102 | and their food preferences were not fulfilled. Only about 1% of the respondents were observed to
103 | eat insufficient food. Figure 1.b also shows that pregnant women of Rajshahi district did not
104 | have to take less amount of food but had to eat lesser varieties of food.
105 |

106
107
108
109




Figure 1.a: Household Food Insecurity Status of Respondents




Figure 1.b: Three Domains of Food Insecurity (Access)

110
111
112

113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122

Dietary Factors and Household Food Insecurity

Table 2 displays the mean differences of various dietary scores between food secure and food insecure respondents (by independent samples t-test) along with association of different dietary factors and food security status of the respondents (by Pearson chi-square test). Mean Dietary

Table 2: Dietary factors and household food insecurity

Dietary factors	Food secure	Food insecure	P-value
Dietary Diversity Score (Mean ± SD)	6.78 ± 1.54	4.34 ± 1.97	< .05 ^a
Food consumption score (Mean ± SD)	65 ± 7.32	60.39 ± 8.15	< .05 ^a
Monthly household food expenditure(in BDT)	7053 ± 483	4367 ± 642	< .05 ^a
Vegetables consumption (%)	74	92	< .05 ^b
Meat, Fish or Poultry consumption (%)	89	54	< .05 ^b
Milk consumption	69	48	< .05 ^b

123 N.B.: BDT= Bangladeshi Taka, ^aP-value was obtained from independent samples t-test, ^bP-value was obtained from
 124 Pearson Chi-square test

125
 126
 127 | Diversity Score and mean Food Consumption Score varies significantly between food secure and
 128 food insecure pregnant women (P<.05). Mean household food expenditure was higher among the
 129 food secure group than their insecure counterparts. About 92% of the food insecure respondents
 130 reported to eat vegetables during the previous day which was significantly higher than the food
 131 secure respondents. On the other hand, meat, fish or poultry and milk consumption were

Socio-economic factors		Food security	Food insecurity	P-value
Household size	Three	73	4	< .05
	Four	19	14	
	≥ Five	18	82	
Educational status of respondents	SSC	14	54	< .05
	HSC	21	32	
	Hons.	42	9	
	Masters	23	5	
Educational status of respondents' husband	HSC	34	68	< .05
	Hons.	43	32	
	Masters	23	0	
Occupation of respondents' husband	Business	42	22	< .05
	Wage earner	37	14	
	Agriculture	13	54	
	Others	8	10	
Monthly household income (in BDT)	14000-25000	4	73	< .05
	25001-30000	27	21	
	>30000	69	6	

132 significantly higher among the food secure respondents (P<.05).

133

134 **Table 3: Association of household food insecurity and socio-economic factors**

135 N.B: SSC= Secondary School Certificate, HSC= Higher Secondary Certificate, Hons.= Honors degree, BDT=
 136 Bangladeshi Taka, P-value was obtained from Pearson chi-square test

137

138 Socio-Economic factors and Household Food Insecurity

139 Table 3 shows the association of socio-economic factors with household food insecurity of the
 140 respondents. Significant associations were found between household food insecurity and family
 141 size, educational status of the respondents, educational status of the husbands, occupation of the
 142 husbands and monthly household income (P<.05). It can be observed from the table that
 143 household size was positively associated with food insecurity. On the other hand, educational
 144 level of the respondents and their husbands, and household income were negatively associated
 145 with food insecurity. Regarding the occupation of the husbands, it can be seen that food
 146 insecurity was more prevalent among farmers in comparison to other occupations.

147

148 DISCUSSION

149

150 | In Bangladesh, minimum and maximum HFIAS scores have been estimated at 0 and 26 at
 151 national level, respectively [20]. In contrast, minimum and maximum HFIAS scores were found
 152 to be 0 and 12 respectively in our study. Mean HFIAS was found to be 3.63 in our study,

153 | whereas, the score was ~~found~~ 7.45 at national level in Bangladesh [20]. It was found in this study
154 | that about 76% of households were food secure and 24% of households were suffering from mild
155 | and moderate levels of food insecurity. Available literature suggests that about 60% of rural
156 | households have been suffering from food insecurity [21]. In the present study, we found that
157 | food insecurity was associated with family size and similar finding ~~was have been~~ found in
158 | several studies [23,26]. Household food insecurity was also associated with educational status
159 | and similar findings were also found in three other studies [23,25,27]. Ukegbu *et al.*, (2019)
160 | found that food insecurity was higher among farmer headed households. We also found that food
161 | insecurity was higher among those respondents, whose husbands were farmers [24].
162 | Monthly household income was found to be negatively associated with food insecurity in the
163 | current study, which was similar to the result found by Tantu ~~AT~~*et al.*, (2017) [22]. Dietary
164 | diversity and monthly food expenditure significantly varied between food ~~in~~secure and food
165 | insecure respondents in our study. Mulugeta *et al.*, (2018) reported that food insecurity is
166 | associated with dietary diversity and Tantu ~~AT~~*et al.*, (2017) found that food expenditure is
167 | associated with food insecurity. It might be concluded that the prevalence of food insecurity was
168 | found to be higher among the pregnant women of mid-west Bangladesh than the national
169 | prevalence. Several studies support the findings of the current study that food insecurity is
170 | associated with household size, educational status of household head, occupation of household
171 | head and monthly household income. The authors would like to suggest that food expenditure
172 | should be prioritized among other household expenses to reduce food insecurity among the
173 | pregnant women.

175 | CONFLICT OF INTEREST

176 | The authors declare no conflict of interest.

177 | REFERENCES

- 180 | 1. Tarasuk, V. S. (2001). Household food insecurity with hunger is associated with women's
181 | food intakes, health and household circumstances. *The Journal of nutrition*, 131(10), 2670-
182 | 2676.
- 183 | 2. Casey, P. H., Simpson, P. M., Gossett, J. M., Bogle, M. L., Champagne, C. M., Connell, C.,
184 | ... & Weber, J. (2006). The association of child and household food insecurity with childhood
185 | overweight status. *Pediatrics*, 118(5), e1406-e1413.
- 186 | 3. Shariff, Z. M., & Lin, K. G. (2004). Indicators and nutritional outcomes of household food
187 | insecurity among a sample of rural Malaysian women. *J Nutr*, 30, 50-55.
- 188 | 4. Che J & Chen J (2001) Food security in Canadian households. *J Health Rep* 12, 11–22.
- 189 | 5. Sarlio-Lahteenkorva, S., & Lahelma, E. (2001). Food insecurity is associated with past and
190 | present economic disadvantage and body mass index. *The Journal of nutrition*, 131(11),
191 | 2880-2884.
- 192 | 6. Melgar-Quinonez, H. R., Zubieta, A. C., MKNelly, B., Nteziyaremye, A., Gerardo, M. F. D.,
193 | & Dunford, C. (2006). Household food insecurity and food expenditure in Bolivia, Burkina
194 | Faso, and the Philippines. *The Journal of nutrition*, 136(5), 1431S-1437S.
- 195 | 7. Hakeem, R., Asar, F., & Shaikh, A. H. (2003). Food Insecurity in metropolis of the
196 | developing world-observations from Central District of Karachi, Pakistan. *JOURNAL-*
197 | *PAKISTAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION*, 53(11), 556-562.
- 198 |

Comment [U2]: Additional information may be required to accurately suggest this last recommendation; the proportion of food expenditure relative to household income. Food insecure households may be spending higher proportion of their income on food and yet there are other competing needs.

- 199 8. World Health Organization. (2018). The state of food security and nutrition in the world
200 2018: building climate resilience for food security and nutrition. Food & Agriculture Org..
- 201 9. Laraia, B. A., Siega-Riz, A. M., & Gundersen, C. (2010). Household food insecurity is
202 associated with self-reported pregravid weight status, gestational weight gain, and pregnancy
203 complications. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 110(5), 692-701.
- 204 10. Saha, K. K., Frongillo, E. A., Alam, D. S., Arifeen, S. E., Persson, L. Å., & Rasmussen, K.
205 M. (2009). Household food security is associated with growth of infants and young children
206 in rural Bangladesh. *Public health nutrition*, 12(9), 1556-1562.
- 207 11. McDonald, C. M., McLean, J., Kroeun, H., Talukder, A., Lynd, L. D., & Green, T. J. (2015).
208 Household food insecurity and dietary diversity as correlates of maternal and child
209 undernutrition in rural Cambodia. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 69(2), 242.
- 210 12. Althoff, R. R., Ametti, M., & Bertmann, F. (2016). The role of food insecurity in
211 developmental psychopathology. *Preventive medicine*, 92, 106-109.
- 212 13. Piperata, B. A., Schmeer, K. K., Rodrigues, A. H., & Torres, V. M. S. (2016). Food
213 insecurity and maternal mental health in León, Nicaragua: Potential limitations on the
214 moderating role of social support. *Social Science & Medicine*, 171, 9-17.
- 215 14. Coates, J., Swindale, A., & Bilinsky, P. (2007). Household Food Insecurity Access Scale
216 (HFIAS) for measurement of food access: indicator guide. Washington, DC: food and
217 nutrition technical assistance project, academy for educational Development, 34.
- 218 15. Coates, J., Frongillo, E. A., Rogers, B. L., Webb, P., Wilde, P. E., & Houser, R. (2006).
219 Commonalities in the experience of household food insecurity across cultures: what are
220 measures missing?. *The Journal of nutrition*, 136(5), 1438S-1448S.
- 221 16. Webb, P., Coates, J., Frongillo, E. A., Rogers, B. L., Swindale, A., & Bilinsky, P. (2006).
222 Measuring household food insecurity: why it's so important and yet so difficult to do. *The*
223 *Journal of nutrition*, 136(5), 1404S-1408S.
- 224 17. Swindale, A., & Bilinsky, P. (2006). Development of a universally applicable household food
225 insecurity measurement tool: process, current status, and outstanding issues. *The Journal of*
226 *nutrition*, 136(5), 1449S-1452S.
- 227 18. Salarkia, N., Abdollahi, M., Amini, M., & Neyestani, T. R. (2014). An adapted Household
228 Food Insecurity Access Scale is a valid tool as a proxy measure of food access for use in
229 urban Iran. *Food Security*, 6(2), 275-282.
- 230 19. Knueppel, D., Demment, M., & Kaiser, L. (2010). Validation of the household food
231 insecurity access scale in rural Tanzania. *Public health nutrition*, 13(3), 360-367.
- 232 20. Tiwari, S., Skoufias, E., & Sherpa, M. (2013). Shorter, cheaper, quicker, better: linking
233 measures of household food security to nutritional outcomes in Bangladesh, Nepal, Pakistan,
234 Uganda, and Tanzania. The World Bank.
- 235 21. Keller H. The Food Security and Nutrition Surveillance Project: Results from Round 7:
236 February to May 2012.
- 237 22. Tantu, A. T., Gamebo, T. D., Sheno, B. K., & Kabalo, M. Y. (2017). Household food
238 insecurity and associated factors among households in Wolaita Sodo town, 2015. *Agriculture*
239 *& Food Security*, 6(1), 19.
- 240 23. Mulugeta, M., Tiruneh, G., & Alemu, Z. A. (2018). Magnitude and associated factors of
241 household food insecurity in Fedis Woreda East Hararghe zone, Oromia region, Ethiopia.
242 *Agriculture & Food Security*, 7(1), 3.

- 243 24. Ukegbu, P., Nwofia, B., Ndudiri, U., Uwakwe, N., & Uwaegbute, A. (2019). Food Insecurity
244 and Associated Factors Among University Students. *Food and nutrition bulletin*, 40(2), 271-
245 281.
- 246 25. Álvares, L., & Amaral, T. F. (2014). Food insecurity and associated factors in the Portuguese
247 population. *Food and nutrition bulletin*, 35(4), S395-S402.
- 248 26. Shone, M., Demissie, T., Yohannes, B., & Yohannis, M. (2017). Household food insecurity
249 and associated factors in West Abaya district, Southern Ethiopia, 2015. *Agriculture & Food
250 Security*, 6(1), 2.
- 251 27. Nkomoki, W., Bavorová, M., & Banout, J. (2019). Factors Associated with Household Food
252 Security in Zambia. *Sustainability*, 11(9), 2715.
- 253
254
255
256
257
258

UNDER PEER REVIEW