SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International STD Research & Reviews**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_I-SRR_50300**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Seroprevalence of Treponema pallidum Infection among Cohorts of Pregnant Women Attending Selected Hospital at Northern Nigeria**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

<mark>PART 1:</mark> Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The manuscript is quite interesting and scientifically robust but authors should consider the following comments and suggestions to better the manuscript:** The manuscript title can be modified to: Seroprevalence of …….Selected Hospitals in Northern Nigeria. **Abstract** **-**Authors should clearly separate the results from methods. -State the problems of the organism and why the study was carried out. -State the Kit used (Company and country of production). -Check for typographical and grammatical errors. -Clearly differentiate probable factors that were associated with the infection from those not associated statistically. -Reduce using ambiguous terms. -Conclusion statement not clear. Rewrite. **Keywords:** add more keywords (Northern Nigeria; Cohort) **Introduction** **-**Introduction is very interesting but many paragraphs that need to be reduced due to unnecessary statements. -Introduce all abbreviations in your work before using them. -State the rationale and problems that warrant you to do such study. **Materials and Methods** -Clearly separate your materials and methods into different headings (Study Area, Study Population, Sample collection etc). -Laboratory diagnosis is not written well. Please write it in a scientific way and sequentially. -Check for typographical and grammatical errors. -State the Ethical clearance reference number for the study. -How did you sampled your population (Show how you determined the sample size). **Results** -Too many tables noticed that could form just a single table. -Recheck your calculations. -Check for typographical and grammatical errors. -Remove unnecessary statements. Give reason(s) for each outcome. Use more than one published work to compare/relate your findings with. -Start discussing the general prevalence before the demographics/risk factors. -Use No. Examined rather than No. of samples in your tables. -What is the difference between P value and Fisher value? **Conclusion** Repetition of terms (Rephrase your grammar). -State the Limitation of the study and Way Forward. References and in-text citations should be done following Journal's format. Always use recent papers in your work.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	*Victor B. Oti*
Department, University & Country	*Nasarawa State University, Nigeria*