SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

| Journal Name: | International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review |
|--------------------------|---|
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJBCRR_46989 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | CHEMICAL COMPOSITION, NUTRITIONAL VALUES AND ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITIES OF WATER MELON SEED (Citrullus lanatus) |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | - The word watermelon is together. Correct in all manuscript. Abstract: - Why the authors in the title only put watermelon, if they also studied ginger? - "The vitamin composition of watermelon seeds indicated 0.030mg/100g for vitaminB ₁ , 0.00055 vitaminB ₂ , 0.6405 mg/100g for vitaminB ₃ , 0.0235mg /100g vitaminB ₆ and 0.001 for vitaminB ₁₂ ." Missing units. - Missing main conclusions of work in abstract Authors need rewrite abstract Authors need rewrite abstract. Keywords are not specific to manuscript. Select other keywords, as for example: seeds, watermelon, antimicrobial activity Introduction - The objectives of work may be together with introduction - Poor introduction, I suggested put more studies about seeds of watermelon and less history and origin of plants Again, why did the authors write about ginger? Materials and methods - Missing reference of method in section "Preparation of Extract". Furthermore, with the conditions described, namely: "concentrated to dryness by evaporation at 450C in a water bath for 3days." some of the compounds tested were lost or damaged The authors did not describe the methodology used to evaluate the nutritional composition, as well as the methodologies used to quantify alkaloids, phenols, but in results these values appear. Results Missing statistical analysis of results The results are expressed in g for fresh or dry weight? All manuscript is confused, and lacks scientific content. | |
| | English need to be improved. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

| Name: | Luana Fernandes |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Department, University & Country | Polytechnic Institute of Bragança, Portugal |

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)