



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_50084
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic Divergence Studies in Ailanthus excelsa through D2 Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page/sdi-general-editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments This is a good research work, but needs some revision.	Lines 3 – 4: Consider to modify this topic to 'Genetic Divergence Studies in Ailanthus excelsa using D ² Analysis. Lines 10 – 11: This abstract is not well structured, the format of the writeup is absurd, it lacks quantitative descriptions and there are some grammatical errors. Please consult literatures to revise and recast. Line 20: Replace the word 'to' with the word 'for' Lines 17 – 63: Please revise. Line 64: Add letter 's' to the word 'material' Line 74: You need to put the acronym 'CPTs' in bracket in front of the term at the first mention, before you continue to use the acronym in the body of your work Line 76: Change the word 'are' to the word 'were' Line 85: Consider to create a heading for this section such as 'Data analysis' then 'D ² Statistics' will be a sub-heading under it. Lines 86 – 115: Revise properly. Line 124: Consider to change the phrase 'are presented here under' to 'were presented below' Line 132: Change 'are' to 'were' Line 212: Consider to change this phrase 'lend support to the results of current findings' to 'which supported the results of this work'. Lines 146 – 218: Revise. Lines 239 – 248: Revise Lines 254 – 335: Revise	
Minor REVISION comments Grammatical and spelling errors need to be corrected in this Manuscript before publication.	Grammatical and spelling errors need to be corrected in this Manuscript before publication.	
Optional/General comments Good research work, but need to be revised	Good research work, but need to be revised	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Jeminiwa Maiye Samuel</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Southern Guinea Savanna Research Station, Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria, Nigeria.</i>