



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_50515
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance evaluation of industrial brewery wastewater biologic treatment in an UASB reactor using activated sludge in Republic of Congo
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page/sdi-general-editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>The paper is beautifully written. It's a very good work on the waste water biological treatment.</p> <p>Some very silly mistakes are done like:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 2.1 the values 10^{+4} is not written like this. It should be written as 10^4 cfu/ml... 2) 32. Influence of temperature space between values and degree Celsius 3) Reference number 21 & 22 (21 & 22 numeris values are repeated) 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Monika Thakur
Department, University & Country	Amity Institute of Food Technology, Amity University, India