



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_50648
Title of the Manuscript:	Diagnosis of Therapy-related Acute Myeloid Leukemia with t(8;21)(q22;q22.1) After Treatment for Mantle Cell Lymphoma and Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma
Type of the Article	Case study

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The figure has poor quality, please provide higher resolution images	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Page 6, line 211. Do not use the symbol “ before the sentence.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The subject is interesting and relevant. In this case study the authors call attention to the correct diagnosis of therapy related malignancies is important due to its severity as they are very aggressive and, usually, considered incurable. t-AMLs with t(8;21)(q22;q22.1) is considered as favorable karyotype, still, it has a poorer outcome compared with its <i>de novo</i> counterpart	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>  Yes. Authors describes that All authors hereby declare that all experiments have been examined and approved by the appropriate ethics committee and have therefore been performed in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki. The Research Ethics Committee of the Federal University of Santa Catarina approved this study (CAAE: 61598816.7.0000.0121).	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Annelise Pezzi</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Hospital de Clinicas de Porto Alegre, Brazil</i></b>