



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_50770
Title of the Manuscript:	CASE SERIES: CORRECTING DEFORMITIES: ELIMINATING THE FEAR OF STIGMA
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. State exact nature of treatment with duration, any use of systemic corticosteroids and how long. This is important in view of deformities are direct result of neuritis in leprosy. The patient need to complete antileprosy treatment and off systemic corticosteroids. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please provide information whether these patients were able to disclose the diagnosis of their deformities after correction of deformity? 2. Though, surgical correction of deformities in leprosy will improve quality of life and vocational rehabilitation of these affected persons (as was also in these cases), it needs lot of social and public perception change for the disease affectively achieved only by IEC activities. Authors rather need to discuss this aspect instead of facility for surgery available or not (already there in introduction). 3. Another aspect need to be discussed is 'significance of prevention of deformities' 4. Avoid duplication. 	
Optional/General comments	Accept with minor revision and lots of copyediting.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vikram Mahajan
Department, University & Country	Dr. Rajendra Prasad Government Medical College, India