



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJRRGY_50322 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | HORMONAL CONTRACEPTIVE UPTAKE AND SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC PATTERN OF ACCEPTORS IN A FAMILY PLANNING CLINIC OF A TERTIARY HEALTH FACILITY IN RIVERS STATE, NIGERIA. |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The sample size of both the studies is exactly the same 2) Both the studies aim at investigating the same research question 3) The wordings used are exactly the same at several places 4) The demographic characteristics of both the studies, eg: number of married women and number of unmarried women 5) Number of people who completed the family vs. Family size not completed is exactly the same 6) The results of both the studies in terms of the most popular contraceptive choice is the same <p>I am of the strong opinion that these observations can't be by chance alone and hence need to be further investigated. Further review is put on hold till the editor opines on this matter and conveys the editorial decision.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I think it is pertinent for me to mention that I note this as a case of self plagiarism (just an opinion) because there is a pattern of similar errors which is probably made by the same author or a group of authors from the same place. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | - | |
| Optional/General comments | - | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Name: | Mohammed Ismail Khan |
| Department, University & Country | ESIC Medical College, India |