



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_50000
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH LEVEL OF PATIENT ACTIVATION AND ITS ROLE TO GLYCEMIC CONTROL and AMONG ADULTS WITH TYPE II DIABETES ATTENDING DIABETIC CLINIC AT HOSPITALS IN ADDIS ABABA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p>	<p><b>FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH LEVEL OF PATIENT ACTIVATION AND ITS ROLE TO GLYCEMIC CONTROL and AMONG ADULTS WITH TYPE II DIABETES ATTENDING DIABETIC CLINIC AT HOSPITALS IN ADDIS ABABA</b></p> <p>I read this manuscript and I think it could be an acceptable text if certain aspects are clarified and corrected. The subject is interesting. In any case, I congratulate the authors for their effort. I suggest that, please, the authors verify the following comments:</p> <p><b>-Sample and sample size</b> Please provide a flowchart.</p> <p>It seems that the sample size is calculated for the prevalence, but, was the sample size calculated for the comparison of the results between the groups? What were the hypothetical mean values or differences between groups, power, etc., to calculate the sample size?</p> <p>The authors could provide all the statistical parameters of their samples.</p> <p><b>-Questionnaire:</b> What was the reliability and validity of this questionnaire? [Translation of a scale: In addition to using rigorous translation and evaluation methods to ensure cultural equivalence, the psychometric properties of the instrument should be ensured in each culture or country where it is to be used, including item-scale correlations (Cronbach's alpha), comparisons of responses, the correlation of the scale with an existing gold standard or other similar instruments and the analysis of the psychometric properties of the instrument in relation to the subgroups of the population of interest]</p> <p><b>-Tables:</b> In dichotomous variables (such as Yes / No), just it is enough write one of the two possibilities (obviously the rest is the other value of the variable).</p> <p><b>-Discussion:</b> The review of the literature should be more than cite the results of other authors. It should also be discussed the strengths and weaknesses of these studies, which should be provided a picture, albeit limited, of the state of knowledge and the main questions on the subject that these studies clarify and left unclear (e.g. by inadequate samples, incorrect design, testing erroneous statistics, characteristics of the persons studied, etc.).</p> <p><b>-Conclusion:</b> It is important in any scientific paper to point out the problems that, from the current study, are still pending solution or clarification.</p> <p><b>-References:</b> There are words that have been written together. References should be reviewed</p> <p>Review, please, the rules of the Journal.</p>	



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	The abbreviations of journals should conform to those of the US National Library of Medicine for Medline / PubMed (available in: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nlmcatalog/journals">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nlmcatalog/journals</a> For example: Health services research. NLM Title Abbreviation: Health Serv Res	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jose Luis Turabian</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Health Center Santa Maria de Benquerencia, Spain</b>