SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

Journal Name:	**International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJTDH_50415**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Prevalence of cancer in the different age groups in Cross River State**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty</u>', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**ABSTRACT** - Overall clear and concise - Please re-check the duration for cases selected in the abstract and text – January to December or October? **MATERIALS AND METHODS** Inconsistent report of duration for cases selected in the abstract and text – January to December or October? **RESULT** **Table 2:** there are inconsistency data between total number of all cases, and number of male cases only and female cases only - Please re-check total cases of hepatobiliary - Please re-check total no. of others in (all cases), do you include no. of urinary track, ovarian and uterus, all together? If yes, check the total again, and suggest to add *others – e.g. [*others = urinary track, ovarian and uterus + others] as footnote below the table **Table 6** - inconsistency data between total no. of hepatobiliary and eye - Please re-check the total no. others all cases – comments similar as table 2 **Table 7** - inconsistency data of eye - Please re-check the total no. others all cases – comments similar as table 2 **Table 8** - Ovarian? Ovary? - The total cases for others is 51 (9+30+(stomach + urinary + lung) looks correct. Suggest to add footnotes below the table **Table 9** - inconsistency data of urinary track - Please re-check the total no. others all cases – comments similar as table 2 **DISCUSSION**	

	- L161- When you state "commonest in that order" – breast cancer, prostate cancer and lymphohaematopoetic (not cervix) - L176-177 – delete word tumours as you used word cancer - Spelling error – collated - L182- suggest to focus for aged 18-39, as discussion L200 provide similar meaning. Furthermore, majority of subjects from aged 40 to 64 years.	
Minor REVISION comments	**Manuscript Formatting – please follow the template** - Add number for every heading e.g. 1. INTRODUCTION, 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS and so on - Re-arrange the text below the heading - Justified your text – result section – L104-110, L113-115, L117-119, L122-125, L127-130, L136-139, L142-145 - Result table – remove the line above the table heading - Reference list- standardize the font style as in the text - L153 – word cancer? - Please re-check the spacing between the words, punctuations, comma, and spelling errors throughout the text.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	*Salimah Japar*
Department, University & Country	*Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia*