



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_48478
Title of the Manuscript:	STANDARDIZATION AND EVALUATION OF CAULIFLOWER STALKS INCORPORATED PHULKAS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>It is very well-marked that this study is acceptable with minor revision and useful for publish in this journal. The discussion section can be developed with literature data.</p> <p>Note: Please, conclusion add.</p> <p>Note: Please, I want to see manuscript again after the corrections.</p> <p>In addition to, please add some papers and you can use them in manuscript.</p> <p>Please see below for papers.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Mohammed, F.S., Akgul, H., Sevindik, M. and Khaled, B.M.T. 2018. Phenolic Content and Biological Activities of Rhus coriaria var. zebaria. Fresen Environ Bull. 27(8): 5694-5702. - Pehlivan, M. and Sevindik, M. 2018. Antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of Salvia multicaulis. TURJAF. 6(5): 628-631. - Sevindik, M., Akgul, H., Pehlivan, M. and Selamoglu, Z. 2017. Determination of therapeutic potential of Mentha longifolia ssp. longifolia. Fresen Environ Bull. 26: 4757-4763. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mustafa Sevindik
Department, University & Country	Akdeniz University, Turkey