



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_48478
Title of the Manuscript:	STANDARDIZATION AND EVALUATION OF CAULIFLOWER STALKS INCORPORATED PHULKAS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract – Give duration of study</p> <p>Introduction, methods, discussion - The authors used in references given in brackets need to be numbered sequentially in square bracket like [1], [2] ----- same to be mentioned in reference section.</p> <p>References – the authors numbered in introduction, methods and discussion need to be given from 1 to ----- sequentially.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Mentioned at the required places in bold	
Optional/General comments	A good study	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prabha R
Department, University & Country	Dairy Science College, India