



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_50405
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Harvest Time on Phytochemical Profile of Citrus aurantifolia Leaf Essential Oil Grown in North Central, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This article consists of 10 total pages. Abstract 0,5 page introduction 2 page, Materials and methods 0,5 page, Results and Discussion 5 pages (2 pages figure and table), conclusion 6 lines reference 2 pages, TITLE: It is suitable for working. Key Words: It is suitable for working Abstract: The study is enough Introduction: information about the botanical and ecological properties Citrus aurantifolia is not enough, Materials and methods: (Experiment) information about the location of the plant. how samples were collected. When collected samples (Months). Are samples taken from a single tree or from many trees? The trees were young or old. The variation in the phytochemical profile of the leaf oils can be attributed to different ecological and climatic conditions, age and nature of the plant. Results Discussion: Enough for this study Conclusions: recommendations were made about the study. REFERENCES for this study enough but 9-25 references missing</p> <p>It would be better if there were 3 different times for the change to be noticed.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Eray Tulukcu</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Selcuk, Turkey</i>