



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_50405
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Harvest Time on Phytochemical Profile of Citrus aurantifolia Leaf Essential Oil Grown in North Central, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The introduction is based on citrus and its potential, but the work is aimed at the essential oils of the leaf of which there is very little talk in the introduction, which should be improved</p> <p>To be a scientific article in the materials and methods does not show: How many samples were collected? during how much time?</p> <p>Bibliographic citations must be updated</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The abstract does not show a brief introduction and the objective of the work A table is placed to place two data which likewise are written in the text to discuss, this is redundant A discussion arises, but for example, the paragraph that begins in line 149 is interesting, but as it relates to the data obtained, they are found without a link of ideas</p> <p>The schemes presented are results of the analysis of the processes or are taken from somewhere It shows that limonene is presented as an essential oil but it is only obtained in the afternoon sample</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Alexis Valerio</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Universidad Nacional Experimental del Táchira, Venezuela</i>