SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_46828
Title of the Manuscript:	Factorial design based bench-scale production of collagenase by Pseudomonas sp. found in protein waste of Himalayan region
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Recent references should be mentioned. Most of the references are old (1978, 1984?) Authors have mentioned technological combinations? They should mention how these combinations are made?, which statistical tool they have used? Factorial designing is based on statistical tools and authors have not mentioned any where about this. Readers of this journal may be confused with termtechnological Please clarify these changes in the revised paper. While writing result and discussion, there is no need to give medium composition which may be mentioned in methodology section. (section 3.5)	
Minor REVISION comments	Some grammatical errors are found e.g. line no.39-40 Line 34, collagenase is extracellular, once mentioned no need to repeat everytime extracellularspace line 13	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hemlata Janardhanrao Bhosale
Department, University & Country	SRTM University, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)