



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JABB_50387 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Biocorrosion Inhibitory Potential of Aqueous Extract of Phyllanthus amarus against Acid Producing Bacteria |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Compulsory REVISION comments Abstract- Leu was not defined. | Some sentences may not be required in the abstract to make it a real summary. Some highlighted. Abstract may require editorial corrections. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | Attend to Grammar and spelling errors, some noted. | |
| Optional/General comments | The methodology employed are in order, however, the use of Leucine should be incorporated in the methodology. Under biocorrosion analyses- treatment of specimen was not explicit. How was the treatment performed? Results: Functional groups are not indicated in Fig 1. Could be included. Only wave numbers were shown and should be stated as such in the legend. Figs 2 & 3-line graphs may be more explanatory. At 7 days of exposure, some of the results are not consistent with the statement made about addition of leucine. E.g 5mg + 20mgPAAE. I.E is about 46% as against 70% for 20mg PAAE at 20°C. CONCLUSION- Is it a possibility? Or it has been demonstrated! | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Name: | <i>Makanjuola Oki</i> |
| Department, University & Country | <i>Landmark University, Nigeria</i> |