



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_49873
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Analysis of Phytochemicals Screening, Proximate and Elemental Analysis of Anacardium occidentale L Nuts and Carica papaya Seeds
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This manuscript is good in its contents and composition, only needs a little improvement	
Minor REVISION comments	Keywords are usually arranged in alphabetical In 2.2 Elemental analysis, is it true that glycosides are analyzed with AAS ? Table 1, 2 & 3, usually the letters in the table are smaller than the text There is no discussion about Carica papaya seeds extracts	
Optional/General comments	Only two of the 24 references are less than 10 years old	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Maria Bintang</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Bogor Agricultural University, Indonesia</i>