



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_50693
Title of the Manuscript:	Bacterial resistance to commonly prescribed antibiotics in a tertiary care hospital: a retrospective review of evidence
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The research methodology for the study was not appropriate and applied properly. 1. Which methods were used to determine the isolates? 2. Which antibiotics were used in the research? It should be in method section. 3. Which antibiotic doses were used ? 4. Which criterion was used to determine of antibiotic resistance levels? (CLSI or....???)	
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	Method section should be revised.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pinar Sanlibaba
Department, University & Country	Ankara University, Turkey