SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMCS_50575**
Title of the Manuscript:	**IMPROVED MODEL FOR DETECTING FAKE PROFILES IN ONLINE SOCIAL NETWORK: A CASE STUDY OF TWITTER**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	This is one rare case of an article almost ready to publish as is. My only remarks are: 1) All figures and tables, particularly figures 1 to 3, have a poor quality. I suggest elimination of colour in all figures and tables as it turn the paper into a more solemn scientific work. 2) Figure 4 seems displaced. 3) Consider moving figures 4 to 6 into an annex. 4) Revise minor formatting errors.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	*Pedro Miguel Alves Ribeiro Correia*
Department, University & Country	*University of Lisbon, Portugal*