



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_50901
Title of the Manuscript:	Mathematical Modelling of Tungiasis Disease Dynamics Incorporating Hygiene as a Control Strategy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The paper is well written. Author(s) should include a RECOMMENDATIONS SECTION to advise government and the general public on measures to take to avoid, management and control the disease	
Minor REVISION comments	1. The paper can be reorganised as follows: I Introduction II Materials and Methods III Results and Discussion IV Conclusion V Recommendations	
Optional/General comments	The quality of the paper very good.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Jackson Akpojaro</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Africa, Nigeria</i>