



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_49339
Title of the Manuscript:	Antimicrobial Resistance of Neisseria Gonorrhoeae isolated from adults aged 18 years and above presenting with urethral and vaginal discharges at Mbarara Regional Referral Hospital
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>References in text are NOT to the style of the journal. References are indicated in numerical order and in brackets, i.e. [2].</p> <p>REFERENCE list at end of manuscript must be presented in the style of the journal, using numerical sequenced citations provide in the text.</p> <p>There is no data concerning the inhibition zones from the disk tests for resistance or susceptibility. In all previous papers, the authors have presented in Tables examples of measurements for inhibition zone (or lack thereof) in studies involving bacterial susceptibility/resistance. THIS IS LACKING IN THIS PREPARED MANUSCRIPT-----THIS IS A MAJOR PROBLEM. AUTHORS MUST PRESENT DATA FOR INHIBITION ZONE FROM DISC SUSCEPTIBILITY TESTING (in Table is sufficient).</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Authors must resolve the issues above before paper is suitable for publication.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ronald Bartzatt
Department, University & Country	University of Nebraska, USA