



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_50185</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Breast Cancer Computer Aided Diagnosis System from Digital Mammograms</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Breast Cancer Computer Aided Diagnosis System from Digital Mammograms</b></p> <p>Paper shows the scientific investigation on medical industry. It is acceptable and I appreciate the authors for their work undertaken. My observation on the paper is given below</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Why worked on mammogram image instead of other aids like MRI, CT etc?</li> <li>2. Anyway the features are taken from different methods of extraction is indicated as 700 . In order to conclude with our results my opinion is to have more features required even if you worked with confusion matrix.</li> <li>3. Zernike moment is continuous orthogonal polynomial can produce many number of feature set as it is good working with circle like structure. You extracted only 121 features from Zernike.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nallasivan Gomathinayagam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>PSN College of Engineering And Technology, India</b>