SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_50302
Title of the Manuscript:	Educational intervention in sexually transmitted diseases.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 Was this study translated from Portuguese to English the grammar is not good and need a lot of correction. 1) What type of educational intervention was given t this children and how was it done? Those are the thing we like to seen in the methodology. 2) How many hour or days was this educational intervention conducted 3) You also said the study test was used to measure a) the knowledge in b) the anatomy and c) sexual physiology, STDs prevention and ant conceptive. Where the result for these outcome that was expected? 4) What are the various level of reproductive health given to this student in their various school? These will have some influence on their level of understanding with respect to STD 5) In Mexico what is the average prevalence of STD and also in the community where the study is been conducted 6) In the school in Mexico what is the nature of health education and reproductive health offer to this student? It is important to known all this facts 7) The Methodology was not written properly 	
Minor REVISION comments	The reference need some review	
	The introductory section was difficult to understand, it need to be review/	
Optional/General comments	Not a clear study	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stephen Olorunfemi
Department, University & Country	Stellenbosch University, South Africa and Queen Mamohato Memorial Hospital, Lesotho

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)