



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_50579
Title of the Manuscript:	Heterotopic Ossification of the Elbow: A Literature Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Good paper, but lacks the most important part which is the heritable bone diseases and mainly connective tissue disorders. For instance, children with osteogenesis imperfecta type V (the most prominent lesions are the interosseous ossification of the forearms). In addition most of the sclerotic bone disorders can lead to heterogenic ossification, particularly in association with trauma. Clinicians need to read the clinical phenotype of every single patient (the clinical sign is not a sign if you can not read it).The generalized notion that heritable bone disorders are rare is in fact untrue. The severe types are rare, but the mild and moderate are so frequent. Early senile ankyloses and heterogenic ossification is a well known lesions (Forestier disease resembling DISH syndrome in elderly) are seen accompanying a long list of syndromic entities. Therefore, any plan for proper management should be based on the clinical and radiological phenotypic characterization i.e etiology understanding.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Ali Al Kaissi</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Orthopedic Hospital of Speising, Austria</i>