

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_50595
Title of the Manuscript:	ULTRASOUND MEASUREMENT OF THE ABDOMINAL AORTIC DIAMETER IN A NORMOTENSIVE AND HYPERTENSIVE A
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu- his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	A very good article depicting clearly the importance of the relationship of abdominal aortic diameter in hypertensive cases using a simple investigative modality like USG. It should be published.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Nil	
	Nil	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed wi that part in the manuscript. It is n feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

ADULT NIGERIAN POPULATION IN ENUGU

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her





SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P K Hota
Department, University & Country	KNR University of Health Sciences, India