



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_50692
Title of the Manuscript:	Empirical antibiotic prescription pattern among patients in a Nigerian tertiary hospital, Is there evidence of irrationality?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The study highlights irrational antibiotic prescriptions and its comparison with antimicrobial susceptibility data from lab First I would like to thank the study</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Please mention wheather the prescriptions were for indoor or outdoor patients .As antimicrobial resistance pattern in community and hospital isolates may vary. 2) Similarly lab data should also mention wheather its for community or hospital pathogens. 3) The comments on diagnosis of patients for which antibiotics were prescribed should be there as appropriateness of prescriptions depends a lot on diagnosis 4) In how many patients cultures were sent before prescribing antibiotics should be mentioned as u are comparing prescriptions with lab data. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Need to do grammatical and spelling corrections in abstract.spelling of comparison is wrongly written as comparism 2) Please correct spelling of comparison in whole paper. 3) Line 109 spelling of comparison wrong. 4) Line 139 Spelling gentamicin in wrong. 	
Optional/General comments	Overall nicely written article	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Swati S. Mudshingkar
Department, University & Country	B. J. Medical College, India