



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_50726
Title of the Manuscript:	Examining our laboratory results through participation in multinational material exchange studies
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The title of the manuscript is not representative of the contents of the manuscript. Only the pre-analytical aspect of processing has been studied. 2. The possible causes of tissue being lifted away while processing the tissue should be elaborated 3. Discuss causes of weak staining with fragile antibodies other than usage of non-buffered formalin. 4. Mention most common analytical and post-analytical phase non-conformances. 5. Elaborate 'NC' as non-conformance. 6. References should be mentioned in superscript in text. 7. Check the manuscript for language and grammatical errors. 8. The references should be in accordance to Vancouver system. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shailja Puri
Department, University & Country	India