Gross Hematuria and Prostatic Cancer in Libyan Patients ABSTRACT 3 Aim: Gross hematuria due to prostate cancer is an important clinical presentation and it is necessary to collect, analyze and determine certain criteria and data- in the diagnosis and management of prostatic cancer. The aim of the present study is to find out the frequency of gross hematuria and 7 to correlate it with gross hematuria and serum Prostatic Specific Antigen (PSA)_-in prostatic 8 cancer patients. 9 Materials and methods: 10 A rettrospective study was done in patients diagnosed with prostatic cancer in Hawari center for urology in Benghazi Libya from 2011 up to 2017. 60 cases were taken for the present study and 1data such as age of patient, first complaint such as gross hematuria, serum PSA result, TUR-P, histopathology result, the types of therapy received (medical or surgical) were analyzed. Please write the full name :[1f]Comment Results: 25 percent cases in the present study had gross hematuria and there was positive correlation between gross hematuria, serum PSA levels and Gleason score. Condusion: The 1 cesult of this study indicate that the presentation of hematuria is not uncommon in prostate cancer and there is a mandatory need for screening of PSA and DRE for men aged from 50-70 Please write the full name :[2f]Comment year210ld for early diagnosis and management of prostate cancer. **Key2words:** prostate cancer, hematuria., PSA, Gleason score. 23 24 25 Intraduction:

Groas hematuria is one of the clinical findings in patients with prostatic cancer. Prostate cancer is one 28f the major health problems that affect men's health. Gross hematuria in patients with prostate cancer is, therefore, a finding that needs to be taken into consideration in the diagnosis and 30 an agement of prostatic cancer patients along with other confounding factors like smoking histoty, symptoms of infection, stage of the cancer. The present study is undertaken to evaluate the 32e sence and management of gross hematuria in Libyan patients

Materials and Methods

A restospective study was done in patients diagnosed with prostatic cancer in Hawari center for urolægy in Benghazi Libya – from 2011 up to 2017. 60 cases were taken for the present study and 3d ata such as age of patient, first complaint such as gross hematuria, serum PSA result, TUR-P, has populated by the types of types of the types of types of the types of types of types of types of the types of type

33

Resadts:

The 30stal number of patients in this study is 60 patients, studied retrospectively in Hawari Center for 41cology Benghazi – Libya over a period of 7 years from 2011 up to 2017.

Out44 60 patients with prostate cancer, the gross hematuria was noticed in 15 patients in this series which is approximately 25 % of the patients, while the high PSA level documented was noti48 in all patients.

Table 1. The average age group of prostate cancer patients.

Age group	Number of patients	Percentage %
Age group	Number of patients	1 creentage 70
51-60 years	4	7 %
61-70years	23	39%
71-80 years	19	32 %
81-90 years	12	20%
91-100 years	2	2%
total	60	100%

Out45 60 patients 4 of them between the age 51-60 years which represent about 7% of patients, 23 patients were between the age of 61-70 years about 39% of patients. 19 patients were between the 4ge of 71-80 years about 32% of patients, 12 patients were between the age of 81-90 years about 820% of the patients, 2 patients were more than the age of 90 about 2% of patients.

The 42 common est age of prostate cancer was between 61-70 and 71-80 years respectively which is the 50 timum age of presentation for prostate cancer. The youngest age in the group was 54 and the 51 dest age was 92. Mean patients' age upon diagnosis of prostate cancer was 73 years (SD \equiv 19).52

53

Table 2The presentation of prostate cancer

The presentation	Number of patients	Percentage %	
Gross hematuria	15	25%	
Urine retention	5	8%	
Asymptomatic	25	42%	
Other symptoms	15	25%	
Total	60	100%	

55

The 56 number of patients_presented with gross hematuria are 15 which constitute about 25% of patients, 5 of patients presented with urine retention which constitute about 8% of patients-, 25 of patients are asymptomatic which constitute about 42% of patients, 15 patients presented with othe 59 symptoms_include (LUT, perineal or voiding discomfort, symptom of bone metastasis) which constitute about 25% of patients.

Table.3. Gross hematuria associated with high PSA at presentation.

	Number of patients	percentage
Gross hematuria	15	25%
High PSA	60	100%

Out62 60 patients about 25% of patients are presented with gross hematuria and are_associated with61 pSA at time of first presentation of prostate cancer which mainly included in this study as a64 important correlation.

The 6 presentation of gross hematuria in prostate cancer in our study represent about 25% of patient by qualitative description and has positive correlation with high PSA using Spearman's rank of correlation (Rs = 0.116),

Full name should be :[3f]Comment uncluded

Table 4.Serum PSA Level in prostatic cancer patients

PSA	Number of patients	Percentages %	
Between 4-10 ng/ml	9	16%	
Between 10-20 ng/ml	14	23%	
Between 20-50 ng /ml	17	28%	
More than 50 ng/ml	20	33%	
Total	60	100%	

The6∄ighest reading of PSA is 1787 ng/ml and the lowest reading is 6.6 ng/ml;_The mean of pati₹nt numbers was 15 and-(SD =4.06)

Table5Histopathology result and Gleason score:

ablest instopathology result and Gleason score.					
Gleason score	Number of patients		Percentage %		
2-6	22		36%		
7	8		14%		
8-10	30		50%		
Total	60		100%		

22 on patients was between the Gleason score from 2-6 which represent about 36% of patients,8 of patients have Gleason score 7 about 14% of patients,30 of patient the Gleason score was between 8-10 which represent 50% of patient, the most common Gleason score in this study was (4+5).

76

77

78

Table.6 Relationship between serum PSA levels and Gleason score

Serum PSA	Low Gleason score	High Gleason score	Total

71

Why is there an empty :[4f]Comment

	(2-0	6) No %	(7-10)No %	
4-10ng/mL	4	6.6 %	5	8.3 %	9 - (15%)
10-20ng/mL	6	10%	8	13.3 %	14-(23.3%)
20-50ng/mL	5	8.3%	12	20 %	17-(28.3%)
>50ng/mL	7	11.6%	13	21.6 %	20-(33.3%)
Total	22	36.6%	38	63.3 %	60-(100%)

HighOPSA level are associated with high Gleasonscore.

Table .7. Management used for prostate cancer

81

82

Type of management	Number of patients	Percentage
TUR-P	26	43%
Surgical castration	12	20%
Hormonal therapy	16	27%
Radical prostatectomy	2	3.3%
Radiotherapy	4	6.7%
Total	60	100%

(Mo82 than 63% of patients require surgical intervention, in particular), more than 43% of pati84ts included in this study was treated by TUR-P for management of hematuria, more thar85hereas 20% of patients underwent surgical castration, and more than 27% of patienta rece**86**ed hormonal therapy, 6% of patients received radiotherapy and two cases underwent radi8al prostatectomy.

Discussion: 88

Prostate cancer is the second most common malignancy affecting men aged 50-70years. This tumor is highly aggressive when detected late and has poor prognosis. Therefore, early screening of men with gross hematuria for prostatic cancer may help early detection and treatment. It was found that more than in 25% of the patients diagnosed with prostate cancer the hematuria was

89

90

91

due to prostate bleeding. Diagnosis of the etiology of the gross hematuria was not difficult in	93	
most cases, in fact, cystoscopy, trans-rectal prostatic biopsy, and TURP provide histopathology		
for the diagnosis in most of cases.	95	
Most of the patients are presented with high PSA (>6.5 ng/ml) and therefore, PSA screening	was 96	
included for early detection and monitoring management of prostate cancer. Various treatment	ent <u>s</u> 97	
for gross hematuria in prostate cancer patients were proposed includinge medical and surgical	l 98	
management such as hormonal manipulation, TUR-P, radiotherapy, radical prostatectomy.	99	
About more 63% of patients require surgical intervention, which represents, and was the mos		
effective management to stop gross hematuria in prostate cancer patient with highly cure rate		
Hormonal therapy also was included in most patients and more 27% was documented to rece		
hormonal therapy	103	
Palliative radiotherapy for gross hematuria was reported in 4 patients to alleviate	104	
hematuriasymptoms. The prognosis of patients with prostate cancer that developed gross	105	
hematuria was dependent on initial therapy for prostate cancer.	106	
About 63.3% of the histopathology reports the had a high Gleason score was high (7-10) and a	107	
were associated with high PSA result. PIn previous studies showed that gross hematuria in	108	
prostate cancer has high prevalence in aging men and hasve significant effect on quality of life		
and progressionng of the disease, Tthese studies identified several causes of gross hematuri	a. 110	
1A1 CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACT	444	
and Also, previous study exploreds the association between the result of PSA and the Gleason		
score in patients with prostate cancer.	112	
Gross hematuria in patients with prostate cancer: etiology and management, the objective of t	he 113	
study was to assess the etiology and prognosis of gross hematuria in patients with carcinoma		
the prostate(5). From 1991 to 2011, 81 men (mean age 74.3 years, SD=-6.5) with prostate can		consider to rephrase this :[5f]Comment
were hospitalized with gross hematuria-: primary treatment of prostate cancer was radical	116	statement
surgery in 13 patients (group 1) and nonsurgical therapy in 68 (group 2), mostly radiotherapy	(35 117	
cases) and hormonal treatment (25 cases). The common etiologies of gross hematuria in grou	p 1 118	
were bladder cancer (38.5%) and urinary infection (23%). I,-in contrast, prostate cancer itself	119	
caused gross hematuria in (60%) of the patients in group 2.	120	which is the study :[6f]Comment
		?described ?5Reference
Thirty-nine patients (48%) required transurethral surgery to manage gross hematuria which w		SKeleiche
effective in all cases; nevertheless.	122	
The authorsthey concluded that the etiology of gross hematuria in patients with prostate can	cer 123	
varies according to the primary treatment, after radical prostatectomy, and it is caused by black		
cancer or infection.	125	
6		

When the primary treatment is not surgical, gross hematuria is most commonly due to prostate cancer itself, although surgical intervention is effective in alleviating hematuria of these patients. In our study the presentation of gross hematuria due to prostate cancer was about 25% which is less than other studies.

The mean age of patient in our study was 73 and in other study 74.3. In our study more than 63% of patient require surgical intervention for management of hematuria while that of Ofer N Gofirt's study (2013) was 48% of patients.

They analyzed the association between these clinical, pathological and radiological parameters in patients with a diagnosis of prostatic adenocarcinoma. Results were shower that of the 123 patients diagnosed with prostatic cancer during the 3-year study period, 72 patients with complete data were included in the study. Of the 72 patients, 15(20.83%) presented positive scintigraphyie examinations for the presence of bone metastasis All patients who had bone metastasis on scintigraphy had PSA value of > 20 ng/mL, and in only 1 patient (0.46%) with bone metastasis PSA concentration was <50ng/mL. There was no statistically significant correlation between PSA level and tumor grading by Gleason score and between Gleason score and bone metastasis.

In our study there was <u>a</u> significant correlation between the result of PSA and the Gleason score since most high Gleason score results are associated with high PSA result. The high prevalence of high PSA identified in our study and other studies supports the recommendation that serum PSA level should be checked in every patient above 50 years old for early detection and management prostate cancer.

Conclusion: 147

148 Complete investigation of any patient admitted with gross painless hematuria must be 149 indicated to rule out prostate cancer.

There is mandatory need for screening of PSA and DRE for men aged from 50-70 years 152 old for early diagnosis and management of prostate cancer.

354 Prostate cancer is sometimes symptomatic disease and gross hematuria is not uncommon 155 presentation and prostate cancer should be suspected.

457 The most common diagnostic modality for prostate cancer is currently trans-rectal 158 ultrasound with guided biopsy, TUR-P was indicated for 43% of patients included for 159 lower urinary tract symptoms / retention where histopathology was obtained. 160

61 Medical treatment can be effective in some cases.

663 Diagnosis of gross hematuria can be accomplished in most cases by cystoscopy. The 164 management of these patients was difficult. Transurethral surgical intervention is often 165 needed, surgery is very effective in alleviating gross hematuria.

166

Ref¢6₹nces:

- 1.Site R, Ma J, Zou Z, et al. Cancer statistics 2014. CA Cancer J Clin.2014;64:9-29.
- 2.Pollowky AL, Kessler L, Gridley G, et al. Rise in prostatic cancer incidence associated with incretaged use of transurethral resection. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1990;82:1624–8.
- 3.David M. Albala, John Reynard, Simon Brewster, Suzanne Biers. Prostatic cancer epidenaiology, etiology, prostate specific antigen and prostate cancer screening. Oxford American handbook of urology. 2011;6:188-208.
- 4.http7://info.cancerresearchuk.org/cancerstats/types/prostate.
- 5.Off5N Gofrit, Ran Katz, AmosShapiro, VladimirYutkin, Galina Pizov, Kevin C.Zorn et al. Gross6nematuria in patients with prostate cancer: etiology and management.International Schoff5rly Research Notices.2013;Article ID 685327, 4 pages.
- 6.J. 148Del Regato, A. H. Trailins, and D. D. Pittman. Twenty years follow-up of patients with inopathable cancer of the prostate (Stage C) treated by radiotherapy: report of a national coopathative study. I nternational Journal of Radiation Oncology Biolog. 1993; 26, (20):197–201.
- 7.A18harsolia, C. Vargas, D. Yan et al. Predictors for chronic urinary toxicity after the treatment of pt8state cancer with adaptive three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy: dose-volume analysis of a phase II Dose-Escalation Study. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biohsey Physics.2007; 69:1100–1109.
- 8.K18 Existery, K. C. Zorn, M. H. Katz, A. B. Jani, and S. L. Liauw. External beam radiation ther 186 after transurethral resection of the prostate: a report on acute and late genitourinary toxically. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics. 2010;77(4):1060–1065.
- 9.J. 188Anderson, D. A. Swanson, L. B. Levy et al. Urinary side effects and complications after permanent prostate brachy therapy. the MD Anderson cancer center expansion, Urology.2009;74, (3):601–605.
- 10. A9 Zapatero, F. García-Vicente, D. Sevillano et al .Is hormone therapy a protective factor for late 162 maturia after high-dose radiotherapy in prostate cancer. Urology. 2008;72(5):1130–1134.

- 11.\$19B Foley, L. Z. Soloman, A. W. Wedderburn et al.A prospective study of the natural history of hand the associated with benign prostatic hyperplasia and the effect of finasteride. Journal of Urology.2000;163(2):496–498.
- 12.**B96**. R. Barrass, R. Thurairaja, J. McFarlane, and R. A. Persad. Haematuria in prostate cander new solutions for an old problem.BJU International.2006;97(5)900–902.
- 13. 198 Leibovici, L. Pagliaro, C. J. Rosser, and L. L. Pisters. Salvage surgery for bulky local recu**199** nce of prostate cancer following radical prostatectomy. Journal of Uro**200** y. 2005; 173(3):781–783.
- 14. **201**Descazeaud, M. Peyromaure, A. Salin et al. Predictive factors for progression in patients with **20** Enrical stage T1a prostate cancer in the PSA era. European Urology. 2008;53(2):355–361.
- 15.I20Bee, S. Thiruneelakandasivam, M. Hong et al.. Aretransrectal prostate biopsies routinely indi**20**ded in patients with incidentally diagnosed prostate cancer following transurethral resection of the prostate for benign disease. Urologia Internationals. 2013;91 (4):397–403.
- 16. **20R**. Srigley, P. A. Humphrey, M. B. Amin et alProtocol for the examination of specimens from the prostate gland. Archives of Pathology and Laboratory Mechane. 2009;133, (10):1568–1576.
- 17.200 Reynard, Simon Brewster, Suzanne Biers. Prostate cancer epidemiology, etiology, prevalence, prostate cancer grading and staging, prostate specific antigen and prostate cancer screening. Oxford handbook of urology third edition. 2013;7:294-330.
- 18. ALZAN J. WEIN, LOUIS R. KAVOUSSI, ALAN W. PARTIN, CRAIG A. PETERS. Prostate can at tumor markers, Prostate Biopsy, Pathology of Prostatic neoplasia, diagnosis and staging of Prostate Cancer. Campbell-Walsh Urology eleventh edition. 2016;3:2543-2608.
- 19. Wriff AM, Wender RC, EtzioniRB, Thompson IM, D'Amico AV, Volk RJ..American Cancer Society guideline for theearly detection of prostate cancer: update2010. CA Cancer J Clin. 2010; 60:721-98.
- 20. Calleason DF. Histologic grading of prostate cancer: a perspective. *Hum Pathol*. 1992; 23:273-9. 219
- 21. Pande ML, Bergstralh EJ, Iocca A, Scherer B, Zincke H. Use of Gleason score, prostate specific antigen, seminal vesicle and margin status to predict biochemical failure after radical procedure to the company of t

Formatted: Italian (Italy)

- 22. 228. Jones, H. W. Follis, and J. R. Johnson, "Probability of finding T1a and T1b (Incidental) prostate cancer during TURP has decreased in the PSA era. Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases. 2009;12(1):57–60.
- 23. 226Magheli, S. Rais-Bahrami, H. B. Carter, H. J. Peck, J. I. Epstein, and M. L. Gonzalgo.Sub classification of clinical stage T1 prostate cancer: impact on biochemical recurrence following radi228 prostatectomy. Journal of Urology.2007;178:1277–1281.
- 24. **T2**Dellavedova, R. Ponzano, L. Racca, F. Minuzzi, and M. Dominguez.Prostate cancer as inci**Z30**tal finding in transurethral resection.Archivos Espanoles de Urologia.2010;63(10):855–861231
- 25. **B2T**. Helfand, A. K. Mongiu, D. Kan et al. Outcomes of radical prostatectomy for patients with a sinical stage T1a and T1b disease. BJU International 2009; 104(3):304–309.

234

- 26. **P85**T. Helfand, C. B. Anderson, A. Fought, D. Y. Kim, A. Vyas, and K. T. McVary, Postagerative PSA and PSA velocity identify presence of prostate cancer after various surgical interpositions for benign prostatic hyperplasia. Urology. 2009;74(1),177–183.
- 27. K38Γ. Mai, P. A.Isotalo, J. Green, D. G. Perkins, C. Morash, and J. P. Collins. Incidental prostate adenocarcinomas and putative premalignant lesions in TURP specimens collected before after the introduction of prostate-specific antigen screening. Archives of Pathology and 144 boratory Medicine. 2000;124(10):1454–1456.
- 28. Kaathilatha Pai1, GauriSalgaonkar, Ranjini Kudva1 and Padmaraj Hegde. Diagnostic corradation between Serum PSA, Gleason Score and bone scan results in prostatic cancer patients with bone metastasis. BRITISH BIOMEDICAL BULLETIN.2015; ISSN-2347-5447.
- 29. 45 menga LF, Boomsma JH, Groenier K, Piers DA, Mensink HJ. Routine bone scans in patients with prostate cancer related to serum prostate-specific antigen an alkaline phosphatase. BJU 47t. 2001; 88:226-30.
- 30. **248** If AM, Wender RC, Etzioni RB, Thompson IM, D'Amico AV, Volk RJ. American Can**249** Society guideline for the early detection of prostate cancer: update2010. *CA Cancer J Clin*252010; 60:70-98.

251