Gross Hematuria and Prostatic Cancer in Libyan Patients 1 2 **ABSTRACT** 3 Aim: 4 Gross hematuria due to prostate cancer is an important clinical presentation and it is necessary to 5 collect, analyze and determine certain criteria and data in the diagnosis and management of 6 prostatic cancer. The aim of the present study is to find out the frequency of gross hematuria and 7 to correlate it with gross hematuria and serum Prostatic Specific Antigen (PSA).in prostatic 8 cancer patients. 9 Materials and methods: 10 A rettrospective study was done in patients diagnosed with prostatic cancer in Hawari center for urology in Benghazi Libya from 2011 up to 2017. 60 cases were taken for the present study and 18 at a such as age of patient, first complaint such as gross hematuria, serum PSA result, TUR-P, histopathologyresult, the types of therapy received (medical or surgical) were analyzed. Resusts: 25 **p6** rent cases in the present study had gross hematuria and there was positive correlation between gross hematuria, serum PSA levels and Gleason score. Condusion: The 182 sult of this study indicate that the presentation of hematuria is not uncommon in prostate can 20 and there is a mandatory need for screening of PSA and DRE for men aged from 50-70

25

23

24

Intraduction:

year210ld for early diagnosis and management of prostate cancer.

Key2words: prostate cancer, hematuria., PSA, Gleason score.

Gro27 hematuria is one of the clinical findings in patients with prostatic cancer. Prostate cancer is one 28f the major health problems that affect men's health. Gross hematuria in patients with prostate cancer is, therefore, a finding that needs to be taken into consideration in the diagnosis and 30 anagement of prostatic cancer patients along with other confounding factors like smoking history, symptoms of infection, stage of the cancer. The present study is undertaken to evaluate the presence and management of gross hematuria in Libyan patients

Materials and Methods 33

A restospective study was done in patients diagnosed with prostatic cancer in Hawari center for urolægy in Benghazi Libya from 2011 up to 2017. 60 cases were taken for the present study and 3d at a such as age of patient, first complaint such as gross hematuria, serum PSA result, TUR-P, hastopathology result, the types of therapy received (medical or surgical) were analyzed.

Resasts:

The 300 tal number of patients in this study is 60 patients, studied retrospectively in Hawari Center for 410 logy Benghazi – Libya over a period of 7 years from 2011 up to 2017.

Out40 f 60 patients with prostate cancer, the gross hematuria was noticed in 15 patients in this series which is approximately 25 % of the patients, while the high PSA level documented was noticed in all patients.

Table 1. The average age group of prostate cancer patients.

Age group	Number of patients	Percentage %
51-60 years	4	7 %
61-70years	23	39%
71-80 years	19	32 %
81-90 years	12	20%
91-100 years	2	2%
total	60	100%

Out45f 60 patients 4 of them between the age 51-60 years which represent about 7% of patients, 23 patients were between the age of 61-70 years about 39% of patients. 19 patients were between the 47ge of 71-80 years about 32% of patients, 12 patients were between the age of 81-90 years about 820% of the patients, 2 patients were more than the age of 90 about 2% of patients.

The 42 ommonest age of prostate cancer was between 61-70 and 71-80 years respectively which is the 54 timum age of presentation for prostate cancer. The youngest age in the group was 54 and the 54 dest age was 92. Mean patients' age upon diagnosis of prostate cancer was 73 years (SD 19).52

53

Table 2The presentation of prostate cancer

The presentation	Number of patients	Percentage %
Gross hematuria	15	25%
Urine retention	5	8%
Asymptomatic	25	42%
Other symptoms	15	25%
Total	60	100%

55

The 56 cumber of patients presented with gross hematuria are 15 which constitute about 25% of patients, 5 of patients presented with urine retention which constitute about 8% of patients, 25 of patients are asymptomatic which constitute about 42% of patients, 15 patients presented with othe 59 symptoms include (LUT, perineal or voiding discomfort, symptom of bone metastasis) which constitute about 25% of patients.

Table.3.Gross hematuria associated with high PSA at presentation.

	Number of patients	percentage
Gross hematuria	15	25%
High PSA	60	100%

Out62 f 60 patients about 25% of patients are presented with gross hematuria and areassociated witl6 high PSA at time of first presentation of prostate cancer which mainly included in this study as a 64 important correlation.

The6 \bar{p} resentation of gross hematuria in prostate cancer in our study represent about 25% of pati6 \bar{b} t by qualitative description and has positive correlation with high PSA using Spearman's rank6 \bar{d} f correlation (Rs = 0.116),

Table 4.Serum PSA Level in prostatic cancer patients

PSA	Number of patients	Percentages %
Between 4-10 ng/ml	9	16%
Between 10-20 ng/ml	14	23%
Between 20-50 ng /ml	17	28%
More than 50 ng/ml	20	33%
Total	60	100%

The bighest reading of PSA is 1787 ng/ml and the lowest reading is 6.6 ng/ml; The mean of patizent numbers was 15 and (SD = 4.06)

71

Table5. Histopathology result and Gleason score:

Gleason score	Number of patients	Percentage %
2-6	22	36%
7	8	14%
8-10	30	50%
Total	60	100%

22 of patients was between the Gleason score from 2-6 which represent about 36% of patients,8 of patients have Gleason score 7 about 14% of patients,30 of patient the Gleason score was between 8-10 which represent 50% of patient, the most common Gleason score in this study was (4+5)59.

76

77

78

Table.6 Relationship between serum PSA levels and Gleason score

Serum PSA	Low Gleason score	High Gleason score	Total

	(2-	6) No %	(7-10)No %	
4-10ng/mL	4	6.6 %	5	8.3 %	9 - (15%)
10-20ng/mL	6	10%	8	13.3 %	14-(23.3%)
20-50ng/mL	5	8.3%	12	20 %	17-(28.3%)
>50ng/mL	7	11.6%	13	21.6 %	20-(33.3%)
Total	22	36.6%	38	63.3 %	60-(100%)

HighOPSA level are associated with high Gleason score.

Table .7. Management used for prostate cancer

81

82

88

89

90

91

92

Type of management Number of patients Percentage TUR-P 26 43% 12 Surgical castration 20% Hormonal therapy 16 27% 2 Radical prostatectomy 3.3% Radiotherapy 4 6.7% 60 100% **Total**

(Mc83 than 63% of patients require surgical intervention), more than 43% of patients included in this 84udy was treated by TUR-Pfor management of hematuria, more than 20% of patients und 85 went surgical castration and more than 27% of patient received hormonal therapy, 6% of patients received radiotherapy and two cases underwent radical prostatectomy.

Discussion: 87

Prostate cancer is the second most common malignancy affecting men aged 50-70years. This tumor is highly aggressive when detected late and has poor prognosis. Therefore, early screening of men with gross hematuria for prostatic cancer may help early detection and treatment. It was found that more than 25% of the patients diagnosed with prostate cancer the hematuria was due to prostate bleeding. Diagnosis of the etiology of the gross hematuria was not difficult in most

cases. Cystoscopy, trans-rectal prostatic biopsy, TURP provide histopathology for the diagnosis in most of cases.	93 94
Most of the patients (are[please remove]) presented with high PSA (>6.5 ng/ml) and	95
therefore, PSA screening was included for early detection and monitoring management of	96
prostate cancer. Various treatment for gross hematuria in prostate cancer patients were	97
proposed include (English needs to be corrected) medical and surgical management such as	98
hormonal manipulation, TUR-P, radiotherapy, radical prostatectomy.	99
About more 63% of patients require surgical intervention and was the most effective	100
management to stop gross hematuria in prostate cancer patient with highly cure rate. Hormonal	101
therapy also was included in most patients and more 27% was documented to receive hormonal	102
therapyPalliative radiotherapy for gross hematuria was reported in 4 patients to alleviate	103
hematuria. The prognosis of patients with prostate cancer that developed gross hematuria (was	104
dependent) depends on initial therapy for prostate cancer.	105
?Which study were you referring	106
About 63.3% of the histopathology reports the Gleason score was high (7-10) and are was	107
associated with high PSA result. In previous studies showed that gross hematuria in prostate	108
cancer has had high prevalence in aging men and have had significant effect on quality of life	109
and progressing of the disease, these studies identified several causes of gross hematuria.	110
Also, previous study explores explored the association between the result of PSA and the	111
Gleason score in patients with prostate cancer.	112
	440
Gross hematuria in patients with prostate cancer: etiology and management, the objective of the	113
study was to assess the etiology and prognosis of gross hematuria in patients with carcinoma of	114
the prostate(5)	115
?gWhat studies are you referrin	116
.From 1991 to 2011, 81 men (mean age 74.3 years, SD 6.5) with prostate cancer were	117
hospitalized with gross hematuria ,primary treatment of prostate cancer was radical surgery in 13	118
patients (group 1) and nonsurgical therapy in 68 (group 2), mostly radiotherapy (35 cases) and	119
hormonal treatment (25 cases). The common etiologies of gross hematuria in group 1 were	120
bladder cancer (38.5%) and urinary infection (23%), in contrast, prostate cancer itself caused	121
gross hematuria in (60%) of the patients in group 2.	122
Thirty-nine patients (48%) required transurethral surgery to manage gross hematuria which was	123
effective in all cases; nevertheless, they conclude that the etiology of gross hematuria in patients	124
with prostate cancer varies according to primary treatment, after radical prostatectomy, it is	125
caused by bladder cancer or infection	126

When the primary treatment is not surgical, gross hematuria is most commonly due to prostate	127
cancer itself, although surgical intervention is effective in alleviating hematuria of these patients	128
.In our study the presentation of gross hematuria due to prostate cancer was about 25% which is	129
less than other studies.	130
The mean age of patient in our study was 73 and in other study 74.3. In our study more than 63%	131
of patient require surgical intervention for management of hematuria while that of Ofer N	132
Gofirt's study (2013) was 48% of patients.	133
They (who are those?) analyzed the association between these clinical, pathological and	134
radiological parameters in patients with a diagnosis of prostatic adenocarcinoma. Results were of	135
the 123 patients diagnosed with prostatic cancer during the 3year study period, 72 patients with	136
complete data were included in the study. Of the 72 patients, 15(20.83%) presented positive	137
scintigraphic examinations for the presence of bone metastasis All patients who had bone	138
metastasis on scintigraphy had PSA value of > 20 ng/mL, and in only 1 patient (0.46%) with	139
bone metastasis PSA concentration was <50ng/mL. There was no statistically significant	140
correlation between PSA level and tumor grading by Gleason score and between Gleason score	141
and bone metastasis.	142
Gleason score does not correlate with bone metastases and so ?Which studies are you refrring	143
e metastasescan not be used to compare your study which does not include bon	144
In our study there was significant correlation between the result of PSA and the Gleason score	145
since most high Gleason score results are associated with high PSA result (English needs to be	146
corrected). The high prevalence of high PSA (in cancer prostate?) identified in our study and	147
other studies supports the recommendation that serum PSA level should be checked in every	148
patient above 50 years old for early detection and management prostate cancer.	149
Conclusion:	150
151 Complete investigation of any patient admitted with gross painless hematuria must be	
152 indicated to rule out prostate cancer.	
153	
254 There is mandatory need for screening of PSA and DRE for men aged from 50-70 years	

254 There is mandatory need for screening of PSA and DRE for men aged from 50-70 years 155 old for early diagnosis and management of prostate cancer.

156

357 Prostate cancer is sometimes symptomatic disease and gross hematuria is not uncommon 158 presentation and prostate cancer should be suspected.

159

460 The most common diagnostic modality for prostate cancer is currently trans-rectal 161 ultrasound with guided biopsy, TUR-P was indicated for 43% of patients included for 162 lower urinary tract symptoms / retention where histopathology was obtained.

564 Medical treatment can be effective in some cases.

165

666 Diagnosis of gross hematuria can be accomplished in most cases by cystoscopy. The 167 management of these patients was difficult. Transurethral surgical intervention is often 168 needed, surgery is very effective in alleviating gross hematuria.

169

References:

- 1. Siegel R, Ma J, Zou Z, et al. Cancer statistics 2014. CA Cancer J Clin. 2014;64:9–29.
- 2.Pdfosky AL, Kessler L, Gridley G, et al. Rise in prostatic cancer incidence associated with increased use of transurethral resection. J Natl Cancer Inst.1990;82:1624–8.
- 3.David M. Albala, John Reynard, Simon Brewster, Suzanne Biers. Prostatic cancer epide iology, etiology, prostate specific antigen and prostate cancer screening. Oxford Amarican handbook of urology.2011;6:188-208.
- 4.http://info.cancerresearchuk.org/cancerstats/types/prostate.
- 5.Offe No. Gofrit, Ran Katz, Amos Shapiro, Vladimir Yutkin, Galina Pizov, Kevin C. Zorn et al. Gros Shematuria in patients with prostate cancer: etiology and management. International Schology Research Notices. 2013; Article ID 685327, 4 pages.
- 6.J. 181Del Regato, A. H. Trailins, and D. D. Pittman. Twenty years follow-up of patients with inoptatable cancer of the prostate (Stage C) treated by radiotherapy: report of a national cooptative study. I nternational Journal of Radiation Oncology Biolog. 1993; 26, (20):197–201.
- 7.A184arsolia, C. Vargas, D. Yan et al. Predictors for chronic urinary toxicity after the treatment of pt85tate cancer with adaptive three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy: dose-volume analys6s of a phase II Dose-Escalation Study. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biolt87v Physics.2007; 69:1100–1109.
- 8.K182evisetty, K. C. Zorn, M. H. Katz, A. B. Jani, and S. L. Liauw. External beam radiation ther app after transurethral resection of the prostate: a report on acute and late genitourinary toxitage. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics. 2010;77(4):1060–1065.
- 9.J. 191Anderson, D. A. Swanson, L. B. Levy et al. Urinary side effects and complications after permanent prostate brachy therapy. the MD Anderson cancer center expanse, Urology.2009;74, (3):601–605.

- 10. A9 Zapatero, F. García-Vicente, D. Sevillano et al .Is hormone therapy a protective factor for late 1925 maturia after high-dose radiotherapy in prostate cancer. Urology. 2008;72(5):1130–1134.
- 11.SL95 Foley, L. Z. Soloman, A. W. Wedderburn et al. A prospective study of the natural history of http://aturia associated with benign prostatic hyperplasia and the effect of finasteride. Journal of Urology.2000;163(2):496–498.
- 12.**B.99**. R. Barrass, R. Thurairaja, J. McFarlane, and R. A. Persad. Haematuria in prostate can**200** new solutions for an old problem.BJU International.2006;97(5)900–902.
- 13. **D**0 Leibovici, L. Pagliaro, C. J. Rosser, and L. L. Pisters. Salvage surgery for bulky local recundence of prostate cancer following radical prostatectomy. Journal of Uro Logy. 2005;173(3):781−783.
- 14. **204**Descazeaud, M. Peyromaure, A. Salin et al. Predictive factors for progression in patients with nical stage T1a prostate cancer in the PSA era. European Urology.2008;53(2):355–361.
- 15.I20Lee, S. Thiruneelakandasivam, M. Hong et al.. Aretransrectal prostate biopsies routinely indiagnosed in patients with incidentally diagnosed prostate cancer following transurethral resection of the prostate for benign disease. Urologia Internationals. 2013;91 (4):397–403.
- 16. **20R**. Srigley, P. A. Humphrey, M. B. Amin et alProtocol for the examination of specimens from 10 tients with carcinoma of the prostate gland. Archives of Pathology and Laboratory Meditine. 2009;133, (10):1568–1576.
- 17.**2**42n Reynard, Simon Brewster, Suzanne Biers. Prostate cancer epidemiology, etiology, pre 2213nce, prostate cancer grading and staging, prostate specific antigen and prostate cancer scre214ng.Oxford handbook of urology third edition.2013;7:294-330.
- 18.ALSAN J. WEIN, LOUIS R. KAVOUSSI, ALAN W. PARTIN, CRAIG A. PETERS. Prostate can Author markers, Prostate Biopsy, Pathology of Prostatic neoplasia, diagnosis and staging of Prostate Cancer. Campbell-Walsh Urology eleventh edition. 2016;3:2543-2608.
- 19. What AM, Wender RC, EtzioniRB, Thompson IM, D'Amico AV, Volk RJ.. American Cancer Society guideline for theearly detection of prostate cancer: update 2010. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2010; 60:70208.
- 20. **Gle** ason DF. Histologic grading of prostate cancer: a perspective. *Hum Pathol*. 1992; 23:273-9. 222
- 21. Pare ML, Bergstralh EJ, Iocca A, Scherer B, Zincke H. Use of Gleason score, prostate specific antigen, seminal vesicle and margin status to predict biochemical failure after radical prostatectomy. *J Urol*. 2001;165:119-25.

- 22. **126**. Jones, H. W. Follis, and J. R. Johnson, "Probability of finding T1a and T1b (Incidental) prostate cancer during TURP has decreased in the PSA era. Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases. 2009;12(1):57–60.
- 23. 229Magheli, S. Rais-Bahrami, H. B. Carter, H. J. Peck, J. I. Epstein, and M. L. Gonzalgo. Sub class 260 cation of clinical stage T1 prostate cancer: impact on biochemical recurrence following radi 221 prostatectomy. Journal of Urology. 2007;178:1277–1281.
- 24. **T3**Dellavedova, R. Ponzano, L. Racca, F. Minuzzi, and M. Dominguez.Prostate cancer as incidental finding in transurethral resection.Archivos Espanoles de Urologia.2010;63(10):855–861234
- 25. **P**35T. Helfand, A. K. Mongiu, D. Kan et al. Outcomes of radical prostatectomy for patients with a stage T1a and T1b disease. BJU International 2009; 104(3):304–309.

237

- 26. **B**8F. Helfand, C. B. Anderson, A. Fought, D. Y. Kim, A. Vyas, and K. T. McVary, Pos**239** erative PSA and PSA velocity identify presence of prostate cancer after various surgical inte**240** ntions for benign prostatic hyperplasia. Urology. 2009;74(1),177–183.
- 27. KA1T. Mai, P. A.Isotalo, J. Green, D. G. Perkins, C. Morash, and J. P. Collins. Incidental prosator adenocarcinomas and putative premalignant lesions in TURP specimens collected beforea and after the introduction of prostate-specific antigen screening. Archives of Pathology and 14aboratory Medicine. 2000;124(10):1454–1456.
- 28. Kanthilatha Pai1, Gauri Salgaonkar, Ranjini Kudva1 and Padmaraj Hegde. Diagnostic correlation between Serum PSA, Gleason Score and bone scan results in prostatic cancer patients with home metastasis. BRITISH BIOMEDICAL BULLETIN. 2015; ISSN-2347-5447.
- 29. Weanning LF, Boomsma JH, Groenier K, Piers DA, Mensink HJ. Routine bone scans in patients with prostate cancer related to serum prostate-specific antigen an alkaline phosphatase. *BJU250t*. 2001; 88:226-30.
- 30. **Wo**lf AM, Wender RC, Etzioni RB, Thompson IM, D'Amico AV, Volk RJ. American Can**252** Society guideline for the early detection of prostate cancer: update2010. *CA Cancer J Clin***252**010; 60:70-98.

254

255