



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_50811
Title of the Manuscript:	PATTERN OF ANTENATAL CARE SERVICES UTILIZATION IN A MISSION HOSPITAL IN OGBOMOSO SOUTH-WEST NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The study photographs the factors that influence the use of antenatal care services in a mission hospital in Ogbomoso.</p> <p>In the ABSTRACT section when it is inserted for the first time ,the abbreviation ANC must be accompanied by the words "antenatal care services" it is necessary to be clearer in the conclusions where the most statistically significant results are reported:instead of "Other factors associated with antenatal care included: family type (p <.001), educational status (p <.001)" it would be better to write "monogamous Family tipe (p <.001), secondary school educational status (p <.001), etc.</p> <p>Results since many women did not deliver in this hospital, what were the main characteristics of the women who chose to deliver outside? from the table it seems to certainly understand all the women of Muslim religion for example</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Comment [1]: HTML: <NOSCRIPT>

Comment [2]: HTML: <NOSCRIPT>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Giuseppe Gregori</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Italy</i>