



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_50906
Title of the Manuscript:	Antidiabetic and Antioxidant Effects of the Polyherbal Drug Glucoblock and Glibenclamide in Type 2 Diabetic Rats.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Minor, Lines 127-130. Can the authors state the composition versus already published ones?</p> <p>Minor, Lines 134-139: please state briefly that this is the pathological establishment of the models. Question: why the rats have not been separated in two groups (controls and stz treated) and distributed afterwards ??? The mention of groups in the Table 2 is troublesome, as groups 2 to 5 are identical.</p> <p>Minor, Discussion: I would strongly suggest cutting the discussion by a half, as the main points are rapidly covered in the beginning of the discussion.</p> <p>Minor, in conclusion, it would be interesting to fractionate the glucoblock to understand what is the element(s) giving its activity to the preparation.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The paper deals with the effects of polyherbal capsule « glucoblock » on several parameters of Type 2 diabetic rats, alone or in combination with glibenclamide. It is clearly written and makes the main points straightforwardly.</p> <p>Interestingly, the effect of the polyherbal is similar to the one obtained with glibenclamide, and the authors stressed the need for carefully use several "treatments" such as a drug and a "natural" preparation.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>JA Boutin</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Institut de Recherches Internationales Servier, France</i>