SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Experimental Agriculture International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_48308**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Association Among Traits by Correlations and Path in Maize Genotype Selection**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author(s) could re-check through the REFERENCES, The last reference is incomplete (Line 470). In Line 420: 'state' of Rio could be 'State'of Rio i.e. capital 's'. Through out the write up, state could start with capital letter; eg. in Lines 22, 56, 59, 77.	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Line 3: Topic could be put as - **Association Among Traits by Correlations and Path Analysis in Maize Genotype Selection** 2. Between Lines 9 and 10: Could be as follows – - Aim: In the State of Espírito - analyze the cause and effect of associations **- Study design:** Randomized complete block design, with sixteen accessions/genotypes and three replicates. - were evaluated, in a randomized complete block design with **Conclusion:** The final plant stand and the mass of one thousand seeds were observed to be traits that could be the determinants to directly increase the grain yield. **NB: The following could be changed as follows-** **3**. Line 33: populations could be increasingly promoted [9]. 4. Line 46: associated with high heritability [13]. Simple correlation, despite 5. Line 76: Table 1. Accessions (genotypes) of 6. Line 83: the randomized complete block design with sixteen genotypes (treatments) and three replicates, 7. Line 89: three 4.0 m length lines spaced at 1.0 m 8. Line 98: the moisture content of 13%. 9. Line 114: sprayer with a 20 litre capacity. Mechanical weeding was used to control the 10. Line 154: In order to verify the co-linearity between the traits, a multi co-linearity test 11. Line 156: Subsequently, it was carried out through the split of the simple 12. Line 185: environmental (re) 13. Line 206: Could put in brackets as (-0.55) 14. Line 213: (-0.57)	

	13. Lines 221: (-0.46) 14. Line 239: Could add to foot notes - *, ** = significant at 5 and 1% probability 15. Lines 272 and 273: Could change significative to significant 16. Lines 276,278,280: could change multicollinearity to multi co-linearity	
Optional/General comments	A good write up.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Grace O. Tona***
Department, University & Country	***Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Nigeria***