



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48537
Title of the Manuscript:	State of the art: Soil physical attributes
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Soil quality largely depends on the soil organic carbon (SOC) storage; that should be addressed in the introduction section. Give some example of this phenomenon including the relationship with other properties like bulk density, porosity. This carbon not only contributes soil physiochemical properties but also the carbon emission to the environment that should also be addressed. The following articles are suggested to include the influence of soil carbon on soil quality;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/american-journal-of-alternative-agriculture/article/characterization-of-soil-quality-physical-and-chemical-criteria/2174882BC78EF3E29059F77F2002C 2. https://file.scirp.org/pdf/AJCC_2019022115293044.pdf 3. Investigating the Soil Carbon Storage Dynamic and Sequestration Potentiality in the Tropical Coral Island (St. Martin) of Bay of Bengal. 4. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0166248197800293 5. Changes of Soil Fertility Status in Some Soil Series of Tista Floodplain Soils of Bangladesh, during 1996-2016 6. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167880901002535 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The overall writing and presentation should be checked. 2. Past literature on this work should be cited and should be present their address. 3. conclusion should be more informative 4. Informative should be more long, objective based, outcome and benefit basis 5. The previous study on soil fertility about practice should be addressed. 6. Some table and figure should be added to make your ideas visible. 	
Optional/General comments	The abstract should be reconstructed.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Masud Hassan
Department, University & Country	University of Dhaka , Bangladesh