



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48537
Title of the Manuscript:	State of the art: Soil physical attributes
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Good but poorly articulated review paper. The paper should be given to someone with a good command of the English language to read through and polish up the language. Without this, the paper at its present state can not be considered for publication as the poor articulation of ideas makes comprehension almost impossible.</p> <p>Equally, the discussion of findings should be done in a comparative fashion. Considering that it is a review paper, effort should be made to compare and contrast the findings of different authors pertaining to the subject matter of the paper.</p> <p>Last but not the least, the paper should be summarized to less than 5000 words and ideas better articulated.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Good review paper that could be considered for publication by JEAI. However, the afromentioned comments should be taken into account before the paper is considered for publication.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nyong Princely Awazi
Department, University & Country	University of Dschang, Cameroon