



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_50010
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Production of Maize Silage is Possible in Integrated Crop-Livestock-Forest Despite the Effects of Shading of the Arboreal Component</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Title</b> It is necessary to revise the title</p> <p><b>Abstract</b> It is necessary to revise the abstract, in particular, split plot design, results &amp; conclusion sentences</p> <p><b>Keywords</b> It is necessary to revise the keywords</p> <p><b>Introduction</b> It is necessary to revise complex sentences as they are complicated that a reader will get lost trying to follow its meaning.</p> <p><b>Materials and Methods</b> It necessary to revise experimental design. It is necessary to revise complex sentences and phrases</p> <p><b>Results and Discussion</b></p> <p><b>3.1 Agronomic Characteristics</b></p> <p>It is necessary to revise organization of Results and Discussion Within the paragraph of results and discussion it is necessary to include the <b>GDMY &amp; MDMY</b> direct and reasonably consistent;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Present synopsis of results</li> <li>• Explanation of results</li> <li>• References to previous research</li> </ul> <p><b>3.2 Silage Composition</b></p> <p>It is necessary to revise Table 4. It is necessary to revise organization of Results and Discussion</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Present synopsis of results</li> <li>• Explanation of results</li> <li>• References to previous research</li> </ul> <p><b>Conclusion</b> It is necessary to revise conclusion and interpreted in relation to the results</p> <p><b>Reference</b> It is necessary to revise reference lists following the journal guidelines</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Check formatting and spacing. Check unclear sentences and eliminate mistakes in grammar and usage. Check the subject of each sentence and the verb that goes with the subject.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The manuscript is very relevant and has potential but requires major revisions and English Language Specialist before it can be published.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Poloko Emmanuel Mosebi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National University of Lesotho, Lesotho</b>