



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_50113
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT AND SELECTION OF ELEPHANT GRASS GENOTYPES FOR ENERGY BIOMASS PRODUCTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	None.	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The study that highlighted the development and selection of elephant grass genotypes for energy biomass production is interesting and informative to the future reader. It could be worthy of consideration for publication in Journal of Experimental Agriculture International. The comments and suggestions are as follows:</p> <p>[1] Introduction Section could be improved with more literature reviews. Despite that the authors pointed out "new studies have been conducted", the used reference is only one. The authors should highlight more literature reviews.</p> <p>[2] Materials and methods section is quite informative and covers the theoretical and experimental methods.</p> <p>[3] Results and discussion section is Ok.</p> <p>[4] Conclusion Section is Ok.</p> <p>[5] In Abstract, the words "<i>Pennisetum purpureum</i> Shum" should be included since the authors used such words in Keywords. It means that the texts in abstract and keywords should be aligned with. For eg., it could be improved with ".....per se of elephant grass (<i>Pennisetum purpureum</i> Shum)....."</p> <p>[6] In Abstract, Acronym such as "MS" and "CD," should be avoided or specified in words. In Table (3), (4), (5) and, (6), What do "a", "b", "c", .. refers to? They should be described below the table to be easier to the readers.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	[7] The usage of Decimal Point and comma should be clarified. In text, the authors used decimal point, but In Table (3), (4), (5) and, (6), they used comma. It might be confusing to the readers. Therefore, as per international format, the usage of the decimal and comma should be clearly applied throughout the whole manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	None.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maw Maw Tun
Department, University & Country	Government Technical Institute (Mawlamyine), Myanmar