



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_50242
Title of the Manuscript:	THE COMBINE EFFECT OF GRASSCUTTER MANURE AND NPK FERTILIZER ON SOIL PROPERTIES, GROWTH AND YIELD OF CARROT
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The title of the article can be stated in a better way. The scientific names of the plants (GRASSCUTTER and CARROT) named in the Title should be put in bracket</p> <p>The author should use of in text citations instead of footnotes</p> <p>For all the parameters measured, analysis of variance (ANOVA) and mean separation are highly recommended to determine if there are significant differences. For leaves and height were differences were notes, the P-value should be stated</p> <p>Sub heading of number of branches (3.4) was put on results of number of leaves</p> <p>The conclusion indicates the how the combined fertilisers affect but it does not give a conclusive recommendation in regards to rates</p> <p>References have been written in different styles and have not been arranged in alphabetical order. The numbering should also be omitted</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Differences in font size, style and spacing have been noted thought the whole text</p> <p>The results in figure 1 and 2 would be more informative if presented in a table form</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The Author is recommended to read and revise the text in view of marked corrections and find out more errors like that for rectification.</p> <p>The Author should also try to improve language and avoid using ambiguous terms as noted in some sections</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nanyanzi Mary
Department, University & Country	Uganda Christian University, Uganda