



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_50461
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF SELECTED LANDRACE AND IMPROVED GROUNDNUT (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i> L.) GENOTYPES UNDER STRESSED AND NON-STRESSED CONDITIONS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Page no. 2. Correct average yield of groundnut, 13000 kh/ha is wrong. 2. Introduction part is too long. Cut short it by keeping only relevant matter. 3. Arrange references in chronological order 4. Follow standard pattern of writing References. 	
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. L. Dobariya
Department, University & Country	Junagadh Agricultural University, India